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Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Huntington Beach
Huntington Beach, California

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Independent Auditors’ Report

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Huntington Beach, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Huntington Beach’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Huntington Beach’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Huntington Beach’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Huntington Beach’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a deficiency in internal control, identified as item 2017-001, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a significant deficiency.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Huntington Beach’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

City of Huntington Beach’s Response to Finding

The City of Huntington Beach’s response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Huntington Beach’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Irvine, California
March 29, 2018
Honorable Mayor and City Council  
City of Huntington Beach  
Huntington Beach, California  


Independent Auditors’ Report  

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program  

We have audited the City of Huntington Beach’s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of Huntington Beach’s major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2017. The City of Huntington Beach’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. 

Management’s Responsibility  

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs. 

Auditors’ Responsibility  

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of Huntington Beach’s major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirement of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Huntington Beach’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. 

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Huntington Beach’s compliance.
Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City of Huntington Beach complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Huntington Beach is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of Huntington Beach’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Huntington Beach’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Huntington Beach as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Huntington Beach’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2018, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Irvine, California
May 10, 2018 except for our report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, for which the date is March 29, 2018
## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

### Direct Programs:

- **Community Development Block Grants**
  - Entitlement Grants - Non ARRA
    - 14.218 B-14-MC-06-0506: $243,927
    - 14.218 B-15-MC-06-0506: $159,748
    - 14.218 B-16-MC-06-0506: $911,743
  - Subtotal Community Development Block Grants: 1,315,418

- **HOME Investment Partnerships Program**
  - 14.239 M-11-MC-06-0514: $72,749
  - 14.239 M-12-MC-06-0514: $288,057
  - 14.239 M-13-MC-06-0514: $247,946
  - 14.239 M-14-MC-06-0514: $294,046
  - 14.239 M-16-MC-06-0514: $166,651
  - Subtotal HOME Investment Partnerships Program: 1,247,526

### Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

2,562,943

## U.S. Department of Justice

### Direct Programs:

- **Asset Forfeiture**
  - 16.922 CA0301000: $216,216

- **Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force**
  - -State and Local Overtime
    - 16.111 R1-14-0013, SW-CAC-1275 (16/17): $25,000

### Passed through California Office of Emergency Services:

- **Violence Against Women Formula Grants**
  - 16.588 LE1508680: $29,901
  - 16.588 LE1609680: $162,552

### Passed through County of Orange:

- **Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance**
  - 16.738 2015-DJ-BX-0226: $25,359

### Total U.S. Department of Justice

459,028

## U.S. Department of Transportation

### Passed through California Department of Transportation:

- **Highway Planning and Construction Cluster**
  - Highway Planning and Construction - Non ARRA
    - 20.205 STPL-5181 (188): $483,797
    - 20.205 STPL-5181 (189): $391,139
  - Bridge Preventive Maintenance - Non ARRA
    - 20.205 BPMPL-5181 (171): $7,914
    - 20.205 BPMPL-5181 (172): $2,561
  - Bridge Rehabilitation - Non ARRA
    - 20.205 BRLO-5181 (174): $30,356
  - Bridge Rehabilitation - Non ARRA
    - 20.205 BRLO-5181 (175): $29,492
  - Highway Safety Improvement Program
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (178), HSI5-12-006: $14,121
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (180), HSI6-12-004: $1,488
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (183), HSI6-12-005: $1,419
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (184), HSI6-12-006: $2,077
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (185), HSI6-12-007: $1,229
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (186), HSI6-12-008: $1,488
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (181), HSI6-12-002: $3,300
    - 20.205 HSIPL-5181 (182), HSI6-12-003: $4,999
  - Subtotal Highway Planning and Construction Cluster: 975,380

### Passed through California Office of Traffic Safety:

- State and Community Highway Safety
  - 20.600 PT1753 (402PT): $148,417

### Total U.S. Department of Transportation

1,447,893

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor</th>
<th>Program Title</th>
<th>Catalog Number</th>
<th>Program Identification Number</th>
<th>Current Year Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Disbursements to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passed through California Office of Emergency Services:</td>
<td>Hazard Mitigation Grant Program</td>
<td>97.039</td>
<td>1911-11-11R</td>
<td>31,028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through County of Orange:</td>
<td>Emergency Management Performance Grant</td>
<td>97.042</td>
<td>2016 EMPG Local Allocation</td>
<td>30,310</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through County of Orange:</td>
<td>Emergency Management Performance Grant</td>
<td>97.042</td>
<td>2017 EMPG Local Allocation</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Passed through the County of Orange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31,130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through City of Santa Ana:</td>
<td>Homeland Security Grant Program (POLICE)</td>
<td>97.067</td>
<td>2015-00078</td>
<td>108,382</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Department of Homeland Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170,540</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,640,405</td>
<td>$80,453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended September 30, 2017

(1) **Basis of Accounting**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the City of Huntington Beach (City) and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting as described in Note 1 of the notes to the City's basic financial statements. All financial assistance received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal financial assistance passed through other government agencies to the City is included in the accompanying Schedule. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of and the audit requirement of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). The City did not use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as covered in section 200.414 of the Uniform Guidance.

(2) **Federal Funded Loans**

The City administers loans, primarily deferred loans, made from funds provided by the following federal programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Programs</th>
<th>CFDA No.</th>
<th>Loans Expended During the Year Ended September 30, 2017</th>
<th>Loans Outstanding as of September 30, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants</td>
<td>14.218</td>
<td>$ 66,046</td>
<td>$2,604,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME Investment Partnerships Program</td>
<td>14.239</td>
<td>801,831</td>
<td>15,002,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Stabilization Program - HERA</td>
<td>14.228</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>477,967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) Office of Emergency Services

The following represents federal expenditures for the grant period, which may extend over several fiscal years, for programs from the Department of Justice passed through the Office of Emergency Services:

Violence Against Women Formula Grant LE15086860 from October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel costs</td>
<td>$ 6,117</td>
<td>$ 5,925</td>
<td>$ 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses/Equipment</td>
<td>28,128</td>
<td>23,976</td>
<td>4,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 34,245</td>
<td>$ 29,901</td>
<td>$ 4,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Violence Against Women Formula Grant LE16096860 from October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel costs</td>
<td>$ 73,410</td>
<td>$ 67,592</td>
<td>$ 5,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses/Equipment</td>
<td>130,727</td>
<td>94,960</td>
<td>35,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 204,137</td>
<td>$ 162,552</td>
<td>$ 41,585</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements

1. Type of auditors' report issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP: Unmodified

2. Internal control over financial reporting:
   a. Material weakness(es) identified? None Reported
   b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None Reported

3. Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? None Reported

Federal Awards

1. Internal control over major programs:
   a. Material weakness(es) identified? None Reported
   b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None Reported

2. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516 (a)? None Reported

4. Identification of major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.205</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction Cluster</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: $750,000

6. Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee? Yes
Section II - Financial Statement Findings

There was one financial statement finding noted during the year under audit:

(2017-001) Audit Adjustments Detected During the Audit Process

As a result of audit procedures performed for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, there was one material audit adjustment detected. The audit adjustment involved the overstatement of the accounts payable balance of the Grants Special Revenue fund. A similar condition was noted in the prior year and was reported in the Government Auditing Standards Independent Auditor’s Report.

Recommendation

To minimize auditor detected adjustments, the City should establish procedures to identify adjustment in the reporting period in which the related transactions occurred. We recognize that it is not always possible to detect errors prior to the close of the fiscal year and, on occasion, the City’s internal controls may identify adjustment in subsequent periods.

Management’s Comments Regarding Corrective Actions Planned

The City will review its current closing and financial reporting processes and implement procedures to ensure transactions are reported in the proper reporting period.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

There are no federal award findings and questioned costs.
Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings

There was a significant deficiency that was reported in the prior year Government Auditing Standards Independent Auditor’s Report. That status of that finding is as follows:

Audit Adjustments Detected During the Audit Process

As a result of audit procedures and the City’s review of financial information for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, there was one material audit adjustment recorded. The audit adjustments related to an adjustment to remove certain loan receivables that had been paid off and an adjustment to remove asset and liabilities associated with developer contributed land.

Status

There was one material audit adjustment noted during the audit for the year ended September 30, 2017. As a result, the condition has not been corrected and a similar finding is being reported in the current year as item 2017-001.