

ISSUES (and Supporting Information Sources):	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	Potentially Significant	No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| f) Result in inadequate parking capacity? (Sources: #2, 5, 19) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|

**Discussion:** The proposed project does not propose any development but includes the adoption of the Sunset Beach Specific Plan that provides parking standards for future development. The Specific Plan reflects the County’s Sunset Beach Specific Plan parking standards. The parking requirements for various uses are less than those of the City of Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance, and there is discussion in the County’s Plan regarding parking issues as they relate to distribution. However, due to the compact, pedestrian-oriented character of Sunset Beach, parking is routinely shared. For example, the linear park/parking facility contains 660 public parking spaces that are regularly used by business patrons, employees, residents and visitors. In addition, most streets have on-street parking on at least one side. The sizeable amount of public parking in Sunset Beach given its compact size has helped to offset limited on-site parking for much of the community. The concept of shared parking is recognized as a reasonable way to allow for reduced parking requirements for individual uses. For example, shared parking is an integral component of the City of Huntington Beach downtown area, which has similarities with Sunset Beach in terms of the combined residential and tourist-oriented development pattern, pedestrian focus and small parcel sizes. Therefore, potential impacts related to parking capacity are expected to be less than significant.

- |  |                          |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities? (Sources: # 1, 3, 19) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**Discussion:** See discussion VIa-b. In addition, the City’s proposed Sunset Beach Specific Plan includes requirements for bicycle parking consistent with the City of Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance. These requirements would apply to new development and would improve the availability of bicycle facilities in the area. The proposed Specific Plan also maintains the existing pedestrian access points to the beach and designates the walking path in the linear park as a public facility, consistent with the County’s existing plan. The proposed project would not conflict with adopted plans, etc. related to public transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities and no impacts would result.

**VII. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.** Would the project:

- |  |                          |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? (Sources: #1, 3, 5, 19) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**Discussion:** See discussion below.

ISSUES (and Supporting Information Sources):	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? (Sources: #1, 3, 5, 19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Discussion:</b> See discussion below.				
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? (Sources: #1, 3, 5, 19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Discussion:</b> See discussion below.				
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? (Sources: #1, 3, 5, 19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Discussion:</b> See discussion below.				
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? (Sources: #1, 3, 5 )	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Discussion:</b> See discussion below.				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? (Sources: #1, 3, 5, 19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Discussion a) through f):** The Sunset Beach area does not include any wetlands but includes beach area that, as described in the County's existing Specific Plan, can be home to a variety of macroscopic species of marine animals, microfauna and invertebrate and vertebrate species that all play a role in the food chain. The proposed project is the annexation, general plan amendment, local coastal program amendment and rezoning for Sunset Beach to incorporate it to the City of Huntington Beach. The City's proposed Specific Plan carries forward the description of the beach area and does not modify any of the regulations that pertain to the open space areas of the Specific Plan, including Sunset Channel. The project will not result in increased density or intensity of land use beyond what is allowed in the existing Specific Plan, nor expand the area that may be developed, and will not create any impacts relating to biological resources.