



DESIGN REVIEW BOARD AGENDA

Thursday, June 13, 2002
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH CIVIC CENTER
Lower Level B-8
3:30 P.M.

ROLL CALL: Charles Davis, Kristin Doerschlag, Robert Eberle, Commissioner Tom Livengood, Howard Zelefsky

- A. **PUBLIC COMMENTS:** Public comments for items not scheduled on the agenda.
- B. **REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS: PROCEDURE:** Staff Presentation, DRB Discussion, DRB Disclosures, Applicant Comments, Discussion/Action.

B-1 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD NO. 99-58 (THE STRAND AT DOWNTOWN HUNTINGTON BEACH - CONTINUED FROM THE MAY 9, 2002 MEETING)

Applicant: CIM Group, David Martin, 6922 Hollywood Blvd., Ninth Floor, Hollywood, CA 90028

Request: Review and provide preliminary comments on the design, colors and materials for a proposed visitor serving commercial development consisting of retail, restaurant, office, and hotel uses.

Location: Bounded by Pacific Coast Highway, Walnut Avenue, Sixth Street, and the alley between Fifth and Main Streets

Recommended Action: Recommend approval of the conceptual architecture to the Planning Commission and return with final details on colors, materials, design, and architectural concepts upon Planning Commission action.

Planner: Jane James, Senior Planner

B-2 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD NO. 02-28 (ARCO SERVICE STATION REMODEL)

Applicant: Andrew Paszterko, 2055 N. Alvarado St., Los Angeles, CA 90039

Request: Review design, colors and materials for a renovation to an existing gas station including an extension of existing gas pump canopies and a remodel of existing signage

Location: 18972 Beach Boulevard (Northeast corner of Beach Boulevard and Garfield Avenue)

Recommended Action: Recommend approval to the Planning Director

Planner: Paul Da Veiga, Associate Planner

B-3 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD NO. 02-31 (MAZDA DEALERSHIP – SIGNAGE REMODEL)

Applicant: Dennis Stout, 871 N. Maplewood St., Orange, CA 92867
Request: Review design, colors and materials for a signage remodel to an existing auto dealership.
Location: 16800 Beach Boulevard (East side of Beach Blvd., south of Terry Dr.)
Recommended Action: Recommend approval to the Planning Director
Planner: Paul Da Veiga, Associate Planner

B-4 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD NO. 02-20 (AT&T WIRELESS)

Applicant: William Bennett, 2301 Dupont Dr., Suite 200, Irvine, CA 92612
Request: Review design, colors and materials for a wireless Communications facility consisting of three flush mounted antennas and 4 equipment cabinets co-located on an existing 57 foot high monopole.
Location: 3831 Warner (North side of Warner east of Pacific Coast Highway)
Recommended Action: Recommend denial to Planning Director
Planner: Rami Talleh, Assistant Planner

B-5 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD NO. 02-27 (WHEEL FUN RENTAL)

Applicant: Keith Bohr, 221 Main Street, Suite “5,” Huntington Beach, CA 92648
Request: Review design, colors and materials for an outdoor display area for bicycle rentals at Pierside Pavillion.
Location: 300 Pacific Coast Highway (North side of PCH, east of Main Street)
Recommended Action: Recommend denial to Zoning Administrator
Planner: Rami Talleh, Assistant Planner

B-6 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD NO. 02-29 (BEACH SURGICAL MEDICAL)

Applicant: Loren Woods, 1404 N. Tustin Avenue, Santa Ana, CA 92705
Request: Review design, colors and materials for a 28 square foot wall sign located on the west side of the building facing Beach Blvd.
Location: 18080 Beach Blvd. (east of Beach south of Talbert)
Recommended Action: Recommend Denial to the Planning Director
Planner: Rosemary Medel, Associate Planner

C. DRB MEMBER COMMENTS/ ISSUES

D. ADJOURNMENT TO JULY 11, 2002

Appeals

Under the provisions of the Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance, items listed as “Recommend to the Planning Director” under the Recommended Action are final unless an appeal is filed to the Planning Commission by you or an interested party. Design Review Board actions that are listed as “Recommendation” to the Zoning Administrator, or Planning Commission under the Recommended Actions category are non appealable since final action occurs during review by the listed body. The appeal must be in writing and must set forth, in detail, the action and grounds by which the applicant or interested party deems themselves aggrieved. The appeal must be accompanied by a filing fee of Two Hundred Dollars (\$255.00) if the appeal is filed by a single family dwelling property owner appealing the decision on their own property and Six Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$865.00) if the appeal is filed by any other party. The appeal shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Planning Commission within ten (10) calendar days of the date of the Design Review Board’s action.

g:drb:agenda06-13-02