Attachment No. 5

Summary of Mitigation Measures

	Impact	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Biological Resources			
1	The proposed project would have the potential to significantly impact the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.	Potentially Significant	1. Prior to the onset of ground disturbance activities, the City shall implement the following mitigation measure which entails nesting surveys and avoidance measures for sensitive nesting and MBTA species, and appropriate agency consultation. 2. Nesting habitat for protected or sensitive species: a. Vegetation removal and construction shall occur between September 1 and January 31 whenever feasible. b. Prior to any construction or vegetation removal between February 15 and August 31, a nesting survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist of all habitats within 500 feet of the construction area. Surveys shall be conducted no less than 14 days and no more than 30 days prior to commencement of construction activities and surveys will be conducted in accordance with California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) protocol as applicable. If no active nests are identified on or within 500 feet of the construction site, no further mitigation is necessary. A copy of the pre-construction survey shall be submitted to the City of Huntington Beach. If an active nest of a MBTA protected species is identified onsite (per established thresholds), a 250-foot no-work buffer shall be maintained between the nest and construction activity. This buffer can be reduced in consultation with CDFG and/or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.	Less than Significant

ATTACHMENT NO. 5.1

Attachment No. 5

Summary of Mitigation Measures

			c. Completion of the nesting cycle shall be determined by a qualified ornithologist or biologist.	
	Biological Resources			
2	The proposed project would have the potential to significantly impact local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.	Potentially Significant	1. For the trees to be removed, tree replacement for existing mature trees on-site shall be in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 232—Landscape Improvements. 2. For the trees to be relocated, the Arborist's Report shall be revised to include the following: a. The trees shall be transplanted by a qualified tree service to be approved by the City of Huntington Beach Public Works Department. b. The detailed specifications and procedures for the translocation of the identified trees as outlined by Darrell W. Simpson from Great Scott Tree Service Inc. in the letters dated June 4, 2008 and June 5, 2008. c. The relocated trees shall be maintained and guaranteed to be alive and thriving after four years by a qualified tree service or arborist to be approved by the City of Huntington Beach Public Works Department. The trees shall be surveyed every six months for a period of four years as to their viability. The survey shall be submitted to the City Landscape Architect for review. In the even that any tree is not surviving, it shall be replaced with the same type and size of tree. d. A letter from the developer stating that the recommendations of the Consulting Arborist will be followed.	Less than Significant
	Aesthetics			
3	The proposed project would have the potential to significantly degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.	Potentially Significant		Less than Significant

ATTACHMENT NO. 5.2