

Appendix G Noise Technical Report

Draft
City of Huntington Beach
Noise Technical Study
for the Proposed
The Village at Bella Terra Project

Prepared by:



12301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 430
Los Angeles, CA 90025
310.268.8132

June 2008

Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Environmental Setting.....	2
2.1	Fundamentals of Sound and Environmental Noise.....	2
2.2	Existing Environmental Noise Levels	4
2.3	Existing Roadway Noise Levels Off Site.....	6
2.4	Fundamentals of Environmental Ground-borne Vibration.....	8
2.5	Existing Groundborne Vibration Levels.....	9
3.0	Regulatory Framework	9
3.1	Federal	9
3.2	State	9
3.2.1	State Department of Health Services.....	9
3.3	Local.....	11
3.3.1	City of Huntington Beach General Plan	11
3.3.2	City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code	13
4.0	Project Impacts and Mitigation	14
4.1	Analytic Method.....	14
4.2	Thresholds of Significance	15
4.2.1	Human Exposure to Noise	16
4.3	Effects Not Found to Be Significant	16
4.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation	16
4.5	Cumulative Impacts.....	27
4.6	References	29

Appendices

Appendix A Noise Modeling Data

Figures

Figure 1	Noise Monitoring Locations.....	5
Figure 2	Land Use Compatibility with Community Noise Environments	10

Tables

Table 1	Representative Environmental Noise Levels	3
Table 2	Existing Noise Levels Around the Proposed Project Site	4
Table 3	Existing Roadway Noise Levels Off Site.....	6
Table 4	Human Response to Different Levels of Groundborne Vibration.....	9
Table 5	City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Exterior Noise Standards	14
Table 6	City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Interior Noise Standards	14
Table 7	Noise Ranges of Typical Construction Equipment.....	17
Table 8	Typical Outdoor Construction Noise Levels	18
Table 9	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	21
Table 10	Current and Future Roadway Noise Levels Off Site	22

1.0 Introduction

The EIR section will evaluate the potential effects of noise and groundborne vibration associated with construction and operational activities that could occur as a result of implementation of the Village at Bella Terra project. The Village at Bella Terra project is the General Plan Amendment (GPA) and Zoning Text Amendment (ZTA) for the Village at Bella Terra site, herein referred to as the Proposed Project, Option 1 and/or Option 2. The Proposed Project consists of a General Plan Amendment (GPA) and Zoning Text Amendment (ZTA) that would facilitate the development of a mixed-use project. In particular, the General Plan would be amended as follows:

- Allow horizontally integrated mixed-use in addition to the currently allowed vertical mixed-use.
- Increase the allowable residential density from the currently allowed 25 dwelling units per acre (du/acre) up to a maximum 45 du/acre (with limitations specified below).
- Increase the allowable commercial floor area ratio (FAR) from the current 0.5 to a maximum 0.6 commercial FAR (with limitations specified below).
- Increase the allowable total building FAR from the current 1.5 to 1.75 maximum FAR.
- Increase the maximum number of stories from the currently allowed maximum of four stories to six stories on a majority of the project site, up to a maximum of ten stories on a portion of the site.

The proposed General Plan designation would be CR-F2-sp-mu (F14). The newly established F14 FAR category would specify an overall maximum total mixed use building area FAR of 1.75. The maximum commercial development and residential density would be limited to only one of the following development combinations on the Proposed Project site. The new General Plan development potential (established by one of the two following combinations) would be established in both the Land Use Density and Intensity Schedule and General Plan Subarea 5a:

- **Option 1 (Increased Residential).** Maximum total building area FAR of 1.75, commercial FAR of 0.2, and 45 du/acre, which would permit a maximum of 713 residential units and 138,085 square feet (sf) of commercial uses. Compared to the existing General Plan designation, this GPA would represent an overall square footage increase of 172,606, through a decrease in commercial-only building area of 207,128 sf, and an increase of 317 residential units; or
- **Option 2 (Increased Commercial).** Maximum total building area floor area ratio of 1.75, commercial FAR of 0.6, and 34 du/acre, which would permit a maximum of 538 residential units and 414,255 sf of commercial uses. Compared to the existing General Plan designation, this GPA would represent an overall square footage increase of 172,606, through an increase in commercial-only building area of 69,042 sf, and an increase of 142 residential units.

These two options represent the overall development scenarios that could occur under the Proposed Project; however, only one option would ultimately be developed. Each of these potential development combinations result in a maximum total building area FAR of 1.75 or 1,208,245 sf of total commercial and residential development, which is an increase in overall square footage (by approximately 172,606 sf) compared to what is currently allowed on-site. The primary difference between the two options is the ratio of residential and commercial uses. Under the Proposed Project, Option 1 would represent an increase in residential uses and Option 2 would represent an increase in commercial uses. Approval of either option would satisfy the proposed changes to the General Plan to allow a mixed-use development, as outlined above.

The associated ZTA would amend SP-13 to allow residential uses and establish residential design and development standards. In addition, the development standards for commercial uses, including but not limited to parking, setbacks, and building height will be evaluated within the Specific Plan.

The Initial Study/Notice of Preparation identified the potential for impacts associated with the following: exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance; exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels; and a substantial temporary and/or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity. Issues scoped out include potential impacts due to proximity to, or association with, an airport land use plan or airstrip, as the Proposed Project site is not located within an airport land use plan or affected area near an airstrip. Data used to prepare this report were taken from *The Village at Bella Terra Traffic Study* provided by Austin-Foust Associates for the Proposed Project, and information obtained by measuring and modeling existing and future noise levels at the Proposed Project site and in the surrounding area (Appendix A). Full bibliographic entries for all reference materials are provided in Section 4.6 (References) at the end of this section.

All comments received in response to the IS/NOP circulated for the Proposed Project were taken into consideration during preparation of this Technical Study, and if relevant, have been addressed in this Study.

2.0 Environmental Setting

2.1 Fundamentals of Sound and Environmental Noise

Sound is technically described in terms of amplitude (loudness) and frequency (pitch). The standard unit of sound amplitude measurement is the decibel (dB). The decibel scale is a logarithmic scale that describes the physical intensity of the pressure vibrations that make up any sound. The pitch of the sound is related to the frequency of the pressure vibration. Because the human ear is not equally sensitive to a given sound level at all frequencies, a special frequency-dependent rating scale has been devised to relate noise to human sensitivity. The A-weighted decibel scale (dBA) provides this compensation by discriminating against frequencies in a manner approximating the sensitivity of the human ear.

Noise, on the other hand, is typically defined as unwanted sound because of its potential to disrupt sleep, to interfere with speech communication, and to damage hearing. A typical noise environment consists of a base of steady “background” noise that is the sum of many distant and indistinguishable noise sources. Superimposed on this background noise is the sound from individual local sources. These can vary from an occasional aircraft or train passing by to virtually continuous noise from, for example, traffic on a major highway. Table 1 (Representative Environmental Noise Levels) lists representative noise levels for the environment.

Several rating scales have been developed to analyze the adverse effect of community noise on people. Because environmental noise fluctuates over time, these scales consider that the effect of noise upon people is largely dependent upon the total acoustical energy content of the noise, as well as the time of day when the noise occurs. The L_{eq} is a measure of ambient noise, while the L_{dn} and CNEL are measures of community noise. Each is applicable to this analysis and defined as follows:

- L_{eq} , the equivalent energy noise level, is the average acoustic energy content of noise for a stated period of time. Thus, the L_{eq} of a time-varying noise and that of a steady noise are the same if they deliver the same acoustic energy to the ear during exposure. For evaluating community impacts, this rating scale does not vary, regardless of whether the noise occurs during the day or the night.
- L_{dn} , the Day-Night Average Level, is a 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 10 dBA “weighting” added to noise during the hours of 10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M. to account for noise sensitivity in the nighttime. The logarithmic effect of these additions is that a 60 dBA 24 hour L_{eq} would result in a measurement of 66.4 dBA L_{dn} .

Table 1 Representative Environmental Noise Levels		
Common Outdoor Activities	Noise Level (dBA)	Common Indoor Activities
	—110—	Rock Band
Jet Fly-over at 100 feet		
	—100—	
Gas Lawnmower at 3 feet		
	—90—	
		Food Blender at 3 feet
Diesel Truck going 50 mph at 50 feet	—80—	Garbage Disposal at 3 feet
Noisy Urban Area during Daytime		
Gas Lawnmower at 100 feet	—70—	Vacuum Cleaner at 10 feet
Commercial Area		Normal Speech at 3 feet
Heavy Traffic at 300 feet	—60—	
		Large Business Office
Quiet Urban Area during Daytime	—50—	Dishwasher in Next Room
Quiet Urban Area during Nighttime	—40—	Theater, Large Conference Room (background)
Quiet Suburban Area during Nighttime		
	—30—	Library
Quiet Rural Area during Nighttime		Bedroom at Night, Concert Hall (background)
	—20—	
		Broadcast/Recording Studio
	—10—	
Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing	—0—	Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing

SOURCE: California Department of Transportation 1998

- **CNEL**, the Community Noise Equivalent Level, is a 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 5 dBA “weighting” during the hours of 7:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. and a 10 dBA “weighting” added to noise during the hours of 10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M. to account for noise sensitivity in the evening and nighttime, respectively. The logarithmic effect of these additions is that a 60 dBA 24 hour L_{eq} would result in a measurement of 66.7 dBA CNEL.
- L_{min} , the minimum instantaneous noise level experienced during a given period of time.
- L_{max} , the maximum instantaneous noise level experienced during a given period of time.

Noise environments and consequences of human activities are usually well represented by median noise levels during the day or night, or over a 24-hour period. Environmental noise levels are generally considered low when the CNEL is below 60 dBA, moderate in the 60 to 70 dBA range, and high above 70 dBA. Examples of low daytime levels are isolated, natural settings that can provide noise levels as low as 20 dBA and quiet, suburban, residential streets that can provide noise levels around 40 dBA. Noise levels above 45 dBA at night can disrupt sleep. Examples of moderate-level noise environments are urban residential or semi-commercial areas (typically 55 to 60 dBA) and commercial locations (typically 60 dBA). People may consider louder environments adverse, but most will accept the higher levels associated with more noisy urban residential or residential-commercial areas (60 to 75 dBA) or dense urban or industrial areas (65 to 80 dBA).

When evaluating changes in 24-hour community noise levels, a difference of 3 dBA is a barely perceptible increase to most people. A 5 dBA increase is readily noticeable, while a difference of 10 dBA would be perceived as a doubling of loudness.

Noise levels from a particular source decline as distance to the receptor increases. Other factors, such as the weather and reflecting or shielding, also help intensify or reduce the noise level at any given location. A commonly used rule of thumb for roadway noise is that for every doubling of distance from the source, the noise level is reduced by about 3 dBA at acoustically “hard” locations (i.e., the area between the noise source and the receptor is nearly complete asphalt, concrete, hard-packed soil, or other solid materials) and 4.5 dBA at acoustically “soft” locations (i.e., the area between the source and receptor is normal earth or has vegetation, including grass). Noise from stationary or point sources is reduced by about 6 to 7.5 dBA for every doubling of distance at acoustically hard and soft locations, respectively. Noise levels may also be reduced by intervening structures; generally, a single row of buildings between the receptor and the noise source reduces the noise level by about 5 dBA, while a solid wall or berm reduces noise levels by 5 to 10 dBA. The manner in which older homes in California were constructed generally provides a reduction of exterior-to-interior noise levels of about 20 to 25 dBA with closed windows. The exterior-to-interior reduction of newer residential units is generally 30 dBA or more.

2.2 Existing Environmental Noise Levels


According to the Noise Element of the City of Huntington Beach General Plan, the primary source of noise within the City is noise from motor vehicles on roadways (traffic noise). These motor vehicles include automobiles, buses, trucks, and vehicles associated with construction equipment transport. Secondary noise sources in the City include aircraft operations, railroad operations, construction activities, and petroleum extraction activities.

Existing daytime noise levels were monitored at five locations around the project site, which are depicted in Figure 1 (Noise Monitoring Locations), in order to identify representative noise levels at various areas. The noise levels were measured using a Larson-Davis Model 814 precision sound level meter, which satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for general environmental noise measurement instrumentation. The average noise levels and sources of noise measured at each location are identified in Table 2 (Existing Noise Levels around the Proposed Project Site). The highest noise measured noise level of 72.2 dBA was recorded along the heavily traveled intersection of Edinger Avenue and Beach Boulevard. These daytime noise levels are characteristic of a typical urban area.

Table 2 Existing Noise Levels Around the Proposed Project Site

	Location	Run Time	Primary Noise Sources	Noise Level Statistics		
				Leq (dBA)	Lmin (dBA)	Lmax (dBA)
1	Northwest corner of Edinger Ave and Gothard St	April 22, 2008 15 Minutes	Traffic, car radio	68.4	53.4	77.9
2	Gothard St. at Center Avenue	April 22, 2008 15 Minutes	Traffic, lawnmower	67.9	47.2	83.4
3	Center Ave, between Gothard and railroad tracks	April 22, 2008 15 Minutes	Traffic, train and warning horn	67.4	46.9	89.8
4	Center Ave, east of Huntington Village Ln	April 22, 2008 15 Minutes	Traffic	66.7	49.5	83.6
5	Huntington Village Ave, midway between McFadden Avenue and Center Avenue	April 22, 2008 15 Minutes	Traffic, freeway noise	60.8	51.8	81.3

SOURCE: PBS&J, 2008



Source: Microsoft Streets and Trips, 2006; PBS&J, 2008.

FIGURE 1
Noise Monitoring Locations

0D2138300

The Village at Bella Terra

2.3 Existing Roadway Noise Levels Off Site

Existing roadway noise levels were calculated for the roadway segments in the project site vicinity including roadways with predominantly commercial uses and those that have noise-sensitive uses facing the roadways. This task was accomplished using the Federal Highway Administration Highway Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108) and traffic volumes from the project traffic analysis data (Austin-Foust Associates, April 25, 2008). The model calculates the average noise level at specific locations based on traffic volumes, average speeds, roadway geometry, and site environmental conditions. The average vehicle noise rates (energy rates) utilized in the FHWA Model has been modified to reflect average vehicle noise rates identified for California by Caltrans. The Caltrans data show that California automobile noise is 0.8 to 1.0 dBA higher than national levels and that medium and heavy truck noise is 0.3 to 3.0 dBA lower than national levels. The average daily noise levels along these roadway segments are presented in Table 3 (Existing Roadway Noise Levels Off-Site).

<i>Roadway</i>	<i>Roadway Segment</i>	<i>Surrounding Uses</i>	<i>dBA L_{dn}</i>
Goldenwest Avenue	North of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial	71.9
Goldenwest Avenue	South of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial	71.8
Goldenwest Avenue	North of McFadden Avenue	Commercial	71.2
Goldenwest Avenue	South of McFadden Avenue	Residential	71.1
Gothard Street	South of McFadden Avenue	Institutional/Vacant	65.4
Gothard Street	North of Center Avenue	Institutional/Commercial	65.2
Gothard Street	South of Center Avenue	Institutional/Commercial	65.3
Beach Boulevard	North of Center Avenue	Commercial	74.2
Beach Boulevard	South of Center Avenue	Commercial	74.2
Goldenwest Avenue	North of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	70.4
Goldenwest Avenue	South of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	70.2
Gothard Street	North of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	66.0
Gothard Street	South of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	66.4
Beach Boulevard	North of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	74.5
Beach Boulevard	South of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	74.5
Newland Street	North of Edinger Avenue	Residential	67.9
Newland Street	South of Edinger Avenue	Residential	67.9
Gothard Street	North of Heil Avenue	Commercial	66.2
Gothard Street	South of Heil Avenue	Commercial	67.2
Beach Boulevard	North of Heil Avenue	Commercial	74.0
Beach Boulevard	South of Heil Avenue	Commercial	74.0
Newland Street	North of Heil Avenue	Residential	64.3
Newland Street	South of Heil Avenue	Residential	64.3
Gothard Street	North of Warner Avenue	Commercial/Residential	67.2
Gothard Street	South of Warner Avenue	Commercial	66.9

Table 3 Existing Roadway Noise Levels Off Site			
<i>Roadway</i>	<i>Roadway Segment</i>	<i>Surrounding Uses</i>	<i>dBA L_{dn}</i>
Beach Boulevard	North of Warner Avenue	Commercial	74.1
Beach Boulevard	South of Warner Avenue	Commercial	74.0
Newland Street	North of Warner Avenue	Commercial/Residential	67.1
Newland Street	South of Warner Avenue	Commercial/Residential	65.4
Beach Boulevard	North of McFadden Avenue	Commercial	73.9
Beach Boulevard	South of McFadden Avenue	Commercial	73.9
Beach Boulevard	North of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial/Residential	74.0
Beach Boulevard	South of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial	74.0
Beach Boulevard	North of Hazard Avenue	Commercial/Recreation	73.2
Beach Boulevard	South of Hazard Avenue	Commercial/Recreation	73.1
Magnolia Street	North of Edinger Avenue	Residential	68.4
Magnolia Street	South of Edinger Avenue	Residential	68.4
Bolsa Avenue	West of Goldenwest Avenue	Commercial	69.2
Bolsa Avenue	East of Goldenwest Avenue	Commercial	70.2
McFadden Avenue	West of Goldenwest Avenue	Residential	67.3
McFadden Avenue	East of Goldenwest Avenue	Residential/Commercial	68.2
McFadden Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Residential/Institutional	68.4
McFadden Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Vacant/Commercial	66.9
Center Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Institutional	54.6
Center Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	60.7
Center Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	65.7
Edinger Avenue	West of Goldenwest Avenue	Commercial/Residential	67.4
Edinger Avenue	East of Goldenwest Avenue	Institutional/Commercial	67.7
Edinger Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Commercial	68.7
Edinger Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	68.8
Edinger Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	69.5
Edinger Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	68.5
Edinger Avenue	West of Newland Street	Residential	66.7
Edinger Avenue	East of Newland Street	Residential	67.0
Heil Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Commercial/Residential	66.5
Heil Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	66.0
Heil Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Residential	65.1
Heil Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	63.5
Heil Street	West of Newland Street	Residential	61.4
Heil Street	East of Newland Street	Residential	56.6
Warner Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Commercial/Residential	71.3

Table 3 Existing Roadway Noise Levels Off Site

<i>Roadway</i>	<i>Roadway Segment</i>	<i>Surrounding Uses</i>	<i>dBA L_{dn}</i>
Warner Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	70.7
Warner Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	71.4
Warner Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	70.8
Warner Avenue	West of Newland Street	Commercial/Residential	69.2
Warner Avenue	East of Newland Street	Commercial/Residential	69.4
McFadden Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	67.2
McFadden Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	67.3
Bolsa Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Residential	67.5
Bolsa Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	66.9
Hazard Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Recreation	66.8
Hazard Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Recreation	66.5
Edinger Avenue	West of Magnolia Street	Residential	67.3
Edinger Avenue	East of Magnolia Street	Residential	67.4

SOURCE: PBS&J 2008. Calculation data and results are provided in Appendix A

2.4 Fundamentals of Environmental Ground-borne Vibration

Vibration is sound radiated through the ground. The rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called groundborne noise. The ground motion caused by vibration is measured as particle velocity in inches per second and, in the U.S., is referenced as vibration decibels (VdB).

The background vibration velocity level in residential and educational areas is usually around 50 VdB. The vibration velocity level threshold of perception for humans is approximately 65 VdB. A vibration velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels for many people. Most perceptible indoor vibration is caused by sources within buildings, such as operation of mechanical equipment, movement of people, or the slamming of doors. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible groundborne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If a roadway is smooth, the groundborne vibration from traffic is rarely perceptible. Groundborne vibration is almost never annoying to people who are outdoors. Although the motion of the ground may be perceived, without the effects associated with the shaking of a building, the motion does not provoke the same adverse human reaction. In addition, the rumble noise that usually accompanies building vibration is perceptible only inside buildings (HMMH 2006). As such, the range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB, which is the typical background vibration velocity level, to 100 VdB, which is the general threshold where minor damage can occur in fragile buildings.

The general human response to different levels of groundborne vibration velocity levels is described in Table 4 (Human Response to Different Levels of Groundborne Vibration).

<i>Vibration Velocity Level</i>	<i>Human Reaction</i>
65 VdB	Approximate threshold of perception for many people.
75 VdB	Approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible. Many people find that transportation-related vibration at this level is unacceptable.
85 VdB	Vibration acceptable only if there are an infrequent number of events per day.

SOURCE: Harris Miller Miller & Hanson (HMMH) 2006.

2.5 Existing Groundborne Vibration Levels

Aside from seismic events, the greatest source of groundborne vibration at the project site and immediate vicinity is roadway truck and bus traffic. Trucks and buses typically generate groundborne vibration velocity levels of around 63 VdB. These levels could reach 72 VdB where trucks and buses pass over bumps in the road. The Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way is located approximately 30 feet to the west and adjacent to the Proposed Project site; however, based on site visits, the tracks adjacent to the project site are in good condition and of continuous weld, which greatly reduces the potential for vibration from the occasional freight train pass by (HMMH 2006).

3.0 Regulatory Framework

3.1 Federal

There are no federal regulations related to noise that apply to the Proposed Project.

3.2 State

3.2.1 State Department of Health Services

The State Office of Noise Control in the State Department of Health Services has established guidelines to provide a community with a noise environment that it deems to be generally acceptable. Specifically, ranges of noise exposure levels have been developed for different land uses to serve as the primary tool a city uses to assess the compatibility between land uses and outdoor noise. These noise standards are shown in Figure 2 (Land Use Compatibility with Community Noise Environments). As shown in Figure 2, a noise level standard of 60 dBA L_{dn} is used for the exterior living areas of new single-family, duplex and mobile home residential land uses, and 65 dBA L_{dn} for the exterior of all new multi-family residential uses. Where a land use is denoted as “normally acceptable” for the given L_{dn} noise environment, the highest noise level in that range should be considered the maximum desirable for conventional construction which does not incorporate any special acoustic treatment. The acceptability of noise environments classified as “conditionally acceptable” or “normally unacceptable” will depend on the anticipated amount of time that will normally be spent outside the structure and the acoustic treatment to be incorporated in the structure’s design.

LAND USE CATEGORY	COMMUNITY NOISE EXPOSURE Ldn* or CNEL, db							
	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	
RESIDENTIAL - LOW DENSITY SINGLE FAMILY, DUPLEX, MOBILE HOMES								
RESIDENTIAL - MULTI. FAMILY								
TRANSIENT LODGING - MOTELS, HOTELS								
SCHOOLS, LIBRARIES, CHURCHES, HOSPITALS, NURSING HOMES								
AUDITORIUMS, CONCERT HALLS, AMPHITHEATRES								
SPORTS ARENA, OUTDOOR SPECTATOR SPORTS								
PLAYGROUNDS, NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS								
GOLF COURSES, RIDING STABLES, WATER RECREATION, CEMETERIES								
OFFICE BUILDINGS, BUSINESS COMMERCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL								
INDUSTRIAL, MANUFACTURING UTILITIES, AGRICULTURE								


INTERPRETATION



NORMALLY ACCEPTABLE
Specified land use is satisfactory, based upon the assumption that any buildings involved are of normal conventional construction without any special noise insulation requirements.



NORMALLY UNACCEPTABLE
New construction or development should generally be discouraged. If new construction or development does proceed, a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements must be made and needed noise insulation features included in the design.



CONDITIONALLY ACCEPTABLE
New construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements is made and needed noise insulation features included in the design. Conventional construction, but with closed windows and fresh air supply systems or air conditioning will normally suffice.



CLEARLY UNACCEPTABLE
New construction or development should generally not be undertaken.

Source: Office of Noise Control, California Department of Health.

FIGURE 2
Land Use Compatibility with Community Noise Environments



OD2138300

The Village at Bella Terra

3.3 Local

3.3.1 City of Huntington Beach General Plan

The California Government Code requires that a noise element be included in the General Plan of each county and city in the state. Each local government's goals, objectives, and policies for noise control are established by the noise element of the General Plan and the passage of specific noise ordinances. The Noise Element of the City of Huntington Beach General Plan addresses the issue of noise by identifying sources of noise in the City and providing objectives and policies that ensure that noise from various sources would not create an unacceptable noise environment. The goals, objectives and policies of the City's General Plan are to ensure that new development is compatible with existing land uses, and alternately, to ensure that new developments are sited, designed and constructed in such a manner that ambient noise levels would not create an unacceptable noise environment for the occupants and patrons of the new development.

According to the Noise Element of the City of Huntington Beach General Plan, the noise level standards adopted by the City are more stringent than the State Office of Noise Control guidelines for residential and commercial noise levels. In addition, the City's Noise Ordinance, as discussed below, places limitations on noise produced by equipment operation, human activities, and construction. The Noise Element goals, objectives, and policies that are relevant to the Proposed Project are identified below.

- Goal N 1** Ensure that all necessary and appropriate actions are taken to protect Huntington Beach residents, employees, visitors, and noise sensitive uses from the adverse impacts created by excessive noise levels from stationary and ambient sources.
- Objective N 1.2** Prevent and mitigate the adverse impacts of excessive noise exposure on the residents, employees, visitors, and noise sensitive uses of Huntington Beach.
- Policy N 1.2.1** Require, in areas where noise levels exceed an exterior Ldn of 60 dB(A) and an interior Ldn of 45 dB(A), that all new development of "noise sensitive" land uses, such as housing, health care facilities, schools, libraries, and religious facilities, include appropriate buffering and/or construction mitigation measures that will reduce noise exposure to levels within acceptable limits.
- Policy N 1.2.3** Require development, in all areas where the ambient noise level exceeds an Ldn of 60 dB(A), to conduct an acoustical analysis and incorporate special design measures in their construction, thereby, reducing interior noise levels to the 45 dB(A) Ldn level.
- Policy N 1.2.5** Require development that generates increased traffic and subsequent increases in the ambient noise levels adjacent to noise sensitive land uses to provide for appropriate mitigation measures in accordance with the acceptable limits of the City noise ordinance.
- Objective N 1.3** Minimize the adverse impacts of traffic-generated noise on residential and other "noise sensitive" uses.
- Policy N 1.3.7** Provide for the development of alternate transportation modes such as bicycle paths and

pedestrian walkways to minimize the number of noise generating automobile trips.

Policy N 1.3.10 Require that mechanical equipment, such as air conditioning units or pool equipment, comply with the City’s Noise Ordinance and Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance.

Objective N 1.4 Minimize noise spill over or encroachment from commercial and industrial land uses into adjoining residential neighborhoods or “noise-sensitive” uses.

Policy N 1.4.2 Require that the loading and shipping facilities of commercial and industrial land uses abutting residential parcels to be located and designed to minimize the potential noise impacts upon residential parcels.

Policy N 1.4.3 Require that the parking areas of all commercial and industrial land uses, which abut residential areas, to be buffered and shielded by walls, fences, or adequate landscaping.

Policy N 1.4.4 Require that the parking structures of commercial or industrial land uses be designed to minimize the potential noise impacts of vehicles on the site as well as on adjacent land uses.

Objective N 1.5 Minimize the potentially adverse noise impacts associated with the development of mixed-use structures where residential units are located above or adjacent to commercial uses.

Objective N 1.6 Minimize the impacts of construction noise on adjacent uses.

Policy N 1.6.1 Ensure that construction activities be regulated to establish hours of operation, to prevent and/or mitigate the generation of excessive or adverse noise impacts through the implementation of the existing Noise Ordinance and/or any future revisions to the Noise Ordinance.

Objective N 1.7 Ensure that buildings are constructed to prevent adverse noise transmission between differing uses or tenants located in the same commercial structure and individual dwelling units in multi-family residential structures.

Policy N 1.7.1 Rigorously enforce the applicable provisions of the Uniform Building Code and City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code which prevent the transmission of excessive and unacceptable noise levels between individual tenants and businesses in commercial structures and between individual dwelling units in multi-family residential structures.

Consistency Analysis

The Proposed Project would be consistent with the identified goals and policies of the City of Huntington Beach's General Plan regarding noise and vibration. The Proposed Project would be locating the proposed residential and retail mixed-use development in a commercial area, away from existing residential neighborhoods. Further, the Proposed Project will be constructed during the hours allowed by the City's Municipal Code. Design of the Proposed Project is such that noise generated by the retail uses would be located at ground level and separate from the majority of the residential uses which would reduce commercial and retail generated noise levels from spilling into the residential portion of the site, as is common for mixed-use developments. If a hotel is developed as part of Option 2, the restaurant and café in the hotel would be required to comply with the City's Municipal Code which would ensure that noise levels do not spill over to the residential uses of the site. Additionally, the residential and hotel uses would be constructed such that interior noise levels would not exceed the standards set forth by the City. Noise from mechanical equipment associated with operation of the project would be required to comply with the State Building Code requirements pertaining to noise attenuation, and with City regulations requiring adequate buffering of such equipment. The loading docks associated with the Proposed Project would be screened from sensitive receptors both on site and off site by intervening structures and design of the loading spaces. Compliance with the City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance and design features would ensure that the construction and operation of the Proposed Project would be constituent with the identified goals and policies of the City's General Plan Noise Element.

3.3.2 City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code

The City of Huntington Beach has also adopted a Noise Ordinance (Chapter 8.40 of the Huntington Beach Municipal Code), which identifies exterior and interior noise standards, specific noise restrictions, exemptions, and variances for sources of noise within the City. The noise level standards that have been adopted by the City are more stringent than State Office of Noise Control guidelines for residential and commercial noise levels. The Noise Ordinance applies to all noise sources with the exception of any vehicle that is operated upon any public highway, street or right-of-way, or to the operation of any off-highway vehicle, to the extent that it is regulated in the State Vehicle Code, and all other sources of noise that are specifically exempted. As such, the Municipal Code provides standards against intrusive noises such as loud gatherings, unauthorized construction generated noise and other intrusive noises.

The exterior noise standards established in the City's Noise Ordinance, Section 8.40.050, are identified in Table 5 (City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Exterior Noise Standards), along with the exterior noise levels that are prohibited as established by Section 8.40.060. Section 8.40.070 establishes the City's interior noise standards and Section 8.40.080 establishes the prohibited interior noise limits as identified in Table 6 (City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Interior Noise Standards). For both exterior and interior noise levels, if the ambient noise level is greater than the identified noise standards, the noise standard becomes the ambient noise level without the offending noise.

In accordance with Section 8.40.090(d) construction noise activities are exempt from the Noise Ordinance, provided that the project Applicant has been granted a permit from the City and that the construction activities do not occur between the hours of 8:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. on weekdays and Saturdays, or at any time on Sundays or federal holidays. Additionally, Section 8.40.100 prohibits noise levels at the exterior of schools, hospitals and churches to exceed the standards set forth in Section 8.40.50, or for noise levels to interfere with the activities at these institutions.

Table 5 City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Exterior Noise Standards

<i>Noise Zone</i>	<i>Noise Zone Land Uses</i>	<i>Noise Level</i>	<i>Time Period</i>
1	All Residential Properties	55 dBA 50 dBA	7:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M. 10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.
2	All Professional Office and Public Institutional Properties	55 dBA	Anytime
3	All Commercial Properties Except Professional Office	60 dBA	Anytime
4	All Industrial Properties	70 dBA	Anytime

SOURCE: City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance

Exterior Noise Levels Prohibited:

It shall be unlawful for any person at any location within the incorporated area of the City to create any noise, or to allow the creation of any noise on property owned, leased, occupied, or otherwise controlled by such person, which causes the noise level when measured on any residential, public institutional, professional, commercial or industrial property, either within or without the City, to exceed the applicable noise standards:

- (a) For a cumulative period or more than thirty (30) minutes in any hour;
- (b) Plus 5 dBA for a cumulative period of more than fifteen (15) minutes in any hour;
- (c) Plus 10 dBA for a cumulative period of more than five (5) minutes in any hour;
- (d) Plus 15 dBA for a cumulative period of more than one (1) minute in any hour; or
- (e) Plus 20 dBA for any period of time.

In the event the ambient noise level exceeds any of the first four noise limit categories above, the cumulative period applicable to said category shall be increased to reflect said ambient noise level. In the event the ambient noise level exceeds the fifth noise limit category, the maximum allowable noise level under said category shall be increased to reflect the maximum ambient noise level.

Table 6 City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Interior Noise Standards

<i>Noise Zone</i>	<i>Noise Zone Land Uses</i>	<i>Noise Level</i>	<i>Time Period</i>
1	All Residential Properties	55 dBA 45 dBA	7:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M. 10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.
2, 3, 4	All Professional Office, Public Institutional, Commercial, and Industrial Properties	55 dBA	Anytime

SOURCE: City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance

Interior Noise Levels Prohibited:

It shall be unlawful for any person at any location within the incorporated area of the City to create any noise, or to allow the creation of any noise on property owned, leased, occupied, or otherwise controlled by such person, which causes the noise level when measured within any other structure on any residential, public institutional, professional, commercial or industrial property to exceed:

- (a) The noise standard for a cumulative period or more than five (5) minutes in any hour;
- (b) The noise standard plus 5 dBA for a cumulative period of more than one (1) minutes in any hour; or
- (c) The noise standard plus 10 dBA for any period of time.

In the event the ambient noise level exceeds any of the first two noise limit categories above, the cumulative period applicable to said category shall be increased to reflect said ambient noise level. In the event the ambient noise level exceeds the third noise limit category, the maximum allowable noise level under said category shall be increased to reflect the maximum ambient noise level.

4.0 Project Impacts and Mitigation

4.1 Analytic Method

This analysis of the existing and future noise environments is based on noise level monitoring, noise prediction modeling, and empirical observations. As defined in the City’s General Plan Noise Element, noise sensitive land uses include public schools, hospitals, and institutional uses such as churches, museums, and private schools. Typically, residential uses are also considered noise sensitive receptors. Therefore, for the purposes of this analysis, the nearest sensitive receptors to the project site would be the residential uses located at the Old World Village, approximately 285 feet from the project site, and the Seawind Village Apartment complex

located approximately 550 feet north of the project site. Golden West College is located approximately 945 feet west of the project site. Residential uses are also located approximately 760 feet south of the site. Additionally, The Ripcurl project is a mixed-use development that is proposed to be occupied by July 2011, located to the west and adjacent to the Proposed Project site. Therefore, it is likely that construction activities associated with the Proposed Project would occur while the residential uses of The Ripcurl project are occupied.

As previously stated, existing noise levels were monitored at selected locations within the project site using a Larson-Davis Model 814 precision sound level meter, which is consistent with the standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for general environmental noise measurement instrumentation. Noise modeling procedures involved the calculation of existing and future vehicular noise levels along individual roadway segments in the site vicinity. This task was accomplished using the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Highway Noise Prediction Model (FHWA RD 77 108). The model calculates the average noise level at specific locations based on traffic volumes, average speeds, roadway geometry, and site environmental conditions. Traffic volumes utilized as data inputs in the noise prediction model were provided by the Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Austin Foust Associates for the Proposed Project. The analysis considers future cumulative traffic noise levels, in recognition of expected higher traffic volumes and resultant noise levels in the future, which provide an appropriate benchmark against which project noise can be assessed.

Additionally, in order to present the most conservative analysis, in consultation with City staff, it was determined that GPA/ZTA Option 2 would create the greatest potential for increases in roadway noise levels, as Option 2 would result in the higher future traffic volumes. Therefore, roadway noise levels from Option 2 are compared against the future cumulative traffic noise levels to determine the worst-case scenario for project-related increases in roadway noise levels. Potential impacts that could arise due to construction would be similar under both Option 1 and Option 2, as both options would require approximately the same mix of equipment and construction phasing. Potential impacts that could arise due to operational stationary noise sources such as heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) would be similar under both options; therefore, the impact analysis relating to construction noise levels and stationary operational noise sources applies to both Option 1 and Option 2, as impacts would be the same for either GPA/ZTA.

4.2 Thresholds of Significance

The following thresholds of significance are based on Appendix G to the 2008 CEQA Guidelines. For purposes of this Technical Study, implementation of the Proposed Project may have a significant adverse impact if it would do any of the following:

- Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies
- Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels
- A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project
- A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project
- Located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport and expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels
- Located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels

4.2.1 Human Exposure to Noise

The CEQA Guidelines do not define the levels at which temporary and permanent increases in ambient noise are considered “substantial.” As discussed previously in this section, a noise level increase of 3 dBA is barely perceptible to most people, a 5 dBA increase is readily noticeable, and a difference of 10 dBA would be perceived as a doubling of loudness. Based on the noise measurements shown in Table 4.9-2, the ambient noise in the vicinity of the project area currently ranges from 60.8 dBA to 68.4 dBA L_{eq} . Therefore, for the purposes of this EIR, an increase of 3 dBA in ambient noise levels would be considered a significant impact.

Additionally, noise generated by construction activities is regulated by the City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code. Construction activities that would occur outside the designated hours established by Section 8.40.090(d) would be potentially significant. Similarly, operational noise resulting from heating ventilation and cooling systems (HVAC), deliveries and refuse collection are also regulated by the City’s Municipal Code, and noise generated by these activities that exceeds the City’s established standards would be potentially significant.

4.3 Effects Not Found to Be Significant

Threshold	<p>For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</p> <p>For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</p>
-----------	--

The project site is not located within two miles of a public airport, public use airport, or private airstrip. Therefore, the project would not expose people to excessive noise from airports. No impact would occur, and no further analysis of this issue is required in the EIR.

4.4 Project Impacts and Mitigation

Threshold	<p>Would the project expose people to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?</p> <p>Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</p>
-----------	---

Impact 1 Construction activities associated with the Proposed Project would not exceed the standards established in the Huntington Beach Municipal Code. Operation of the Proposed Project would not generate noise levels in excess of standards established by the City.

Construction

Implementation of the Proposed Project would involve a GPA and ZTA that allows for the construction of a mixed-use residential and retail center on approximately 15.85 acres of commercial space in the City of Huntington Beach. Construction would involve the demolition of the existing 208,700 sf commercial use at the site, along with excavation, pile driving for structural foundations and eventual construction of the project, all of which would involve the use of heavy equipment. Construction activities would also involve the use of smaller

power tools, generators, and other equipment that are sources of noise. Haul trucks using the local roadways would generate noise as they move along the road. Construction under both Option 1 and Option 2 would occur in five phases, lasting a total of 42 months, with demolition activities anticipated to begin in January 2009 and last for approximately three months; excavation and utility installation is anticipated to last approximately five months; pile driving activities for structural foundations are anticipated to begin in May of 2009 and continue through December of that year; building construction including architectural coating and site paving is anticipated to begin during the summer of 2009 and last for approximately two years with full build out anticipated in the summer of 2012. Each stage of construction would involve a different mix of operating equipment, and noise levels would vary based on the amount and types of equipment in operation and the location of the activity.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has compiled data regarding the noise generating characteristics of typical construction activities. These data are presented in Table 7 (Noise Ranges of Typical Construction Equipment) and Table 8 (Typical Outdoor Construction Noise Levels). These noise levels would diminish rapidly with distance from the construction site at a rate of approximately 6 dBA per doubling of distance. For example, a noise level of 86 dBA measured at 50 feet from the noise source to the receptor would reduce to 80 dBA at 100 feet from the source to the receptor, and reduce by another 6 dBA (to 74 dBA) at 200 feet from the source to the receptor.

Table 7 Noise Ranges of Typical Construction Equipment	
<i>Construction Equipment</i>	<i>Noise Levels in dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet¹</i>
Front Loader	73–86
Trucks	82–95
Cranes (moveable)	75–88
Cranes (derrick)	86–89
Vibrator	68–82
Saws	72–82
Pneumatic Impact Equipment	83–88
Jackhammers	81–98
Pumps	68–72
Generators	71–83
Compressors	75–87
Concrete Mixers	75–88
Concrete Pumps	81–85
Back Hoe	73–95
Tractor	77–98
Scraper/Grader	80–93
Paver	85–88

SOURCE: U.S. EPA 1971

Machinery equipped with noise control devices or other noise-reducing design features does not generate the same level of noise emissions as that shown in this table.

The closest sensitive uses to the Proposed Project site would be the occupants of the residential uses located at the Old World Village, approximately 285 feet from the project site, and the Seawind Village Apartments located approximately 550 feet north of the project site, separated by Center Avenue and the Old World Village Shopping Center. Additionally, Golden West College is located approximately 945 feet west of the project site. Residential uses are also located approximately 760 feet south of the site, and The Ripcurl Project

4.0 Project Impacts and Mitigation

is anticipated to be occupied by July 2011 and the residential occupants would be subjected to construction generated noise. These educational and residential sensitive uses would potentially be affected by the construction noise occurring as a result of the Proposed Project. Based on the information presented in Table 8, and the diminishment of noise levels at a rate of 6 dBA per doubling of distance, the approximate noise levels experienced by these adjacent sensitive uses due to construction activities occurring at the project site have been estimated and are shown in Table 8. The construction phase that would generate the greatest noise levels would be the pile driving phase, which is anticipated to begin in May 2009 and last for approximately 7 months. Noise levels during the pile driving activities at the residential portion of the Old World Village located approximately 285 feet north of the project site could reach 91 dBA. The residential occupants of The Ripcurl mixed-use development would also be subjected to construction-generated noise; however, pile driving activities are anticipated to be completed prior to occupancy, with habitable structures located approximately 50 feet from the Proposed Project construction activities. It is anticipated that typical daily construction activities (external finishing) could reach noise levels of up to 86 dBA at The Ripcurl project.

Table 8 Typical Outdoor Construction Noise Levels

<i>Construction Phase</i>	<i>Noise Level at 50 Feet with Mufflers (dBA Leq)</i>	<i>Noise Level at 100 Feet with Mufflers (dBA Leq)</i>	<i>Noise Level at 285 Feet with Mufflers (dBA Leq)</i>	<i>Noise Level at 550 Feet with Mufflers (dBA Leq)</i>	<i>Noise Level at 700 Feet with Mufflers (dBA Leq)</i>
Ground Clearing	82	76	66	61	59
Excavation/Grading	86	80	71	65	63
Foundations	77	71	62	56	54
Pile Driving	107	101	91	86	84
Structural	83	77	67	62	60
External Finishing	86	80	71	65	63

SOURCE: U.S. EPA 1971

The noise levels at the off-site sensitive uses were determined with the following equation from the HMMH *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, Final Report*: $L_{eq} = L_{eq} \text{ at } 50 \text{ ft.} - 20 \text{ Log}(D/50)$, where L_{eq} = noise level of noise source, D = distance from the noise source to the receiver, $L_{eq} \text{ at } 50 \text{ ft.}$ = noise level of source at 50 feet.

Most of the types of exterior construction activities associated with the Proposed Project would not generate continuously high noise levels, although occasional single-event disturbances from grading and external building construction are possible.

Under Section 8.40.090(d) (Special Provisions) of Chapter 8.40 of the City's Municipal Code, noise sources associated with construction are exempt from the requirements of the Municipal Code, provided that the Applicant has acquired the proper permit(s) from the City and construction activities do not occur between the hours of 8:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. on weekdays, including Saturday, or at any time on Sunday or a federal holiday.

To reduce the noise levels resulting from construction of the Proposed Project to the extent feasible, the following mitigation measures shall be implemented:

MM1(a)

The Applicant shall require by contract specifications that the following construction best management practices (BMPs) be implemented by contractors to reduce construction noise levels:

- *Notification shall be mailed to owners and occupants of all developed land uses immediately bordering or directly across the street from the project site area providing a schedule for major construction activities that will occur through the duration of the construction period. In addition, the notification will include the identification and contact number for a community liaison and designated construction manager that would be available on site to monitor construction activities. The construction manager will be located at the on-site construction office during construction hours for the duration of all*

construction activities. Contract information for the community liaison and construction manager will be located at the construction office, City Hall, and the police department.

- Ensure that construction equipment is properly muffled according to industry standards
- Place noise-generating construction equipment and locate construction staging areas away from sensitive uses, where feasible
- Implement noise attenuation measures to the extent feasible, which may include, but are not limited to, noise barriers or noise blankets
- Schedule pile-driving activities between the hours of 8:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. on Monday's through Friday's only.

MM1(b)

The Applicant shall require by contract specifications that construction staging areas, along with the operation of earthmoving equipment within the project site, are located as far away from vibration- and noise-sensitive sites as possible. Contract specifications shall be included in the Proposed Project construction documents, which shall be reviewed and approved by the City.

Although construction of the Proposed Project would generate noise levels higher than the 55 dBA exterior limit for residential properties such as the residential uses located in the Old World Village in Huntington Beach, construction related noise is exempt under the City's Municipal Code. Further, construction related noise is intermittent in nature and would not generate continuous noise levels above the Municipal Code standards. Under mitigation measure **MM4.9-1(a)**, the implementation of noise attenuation measures may include the use of noise barriers (e.g., sound walls) or noise blankets. As a general rule, a sound wall is able to reduce noise by 5 dBA (HMMH 2006). In addition, mitigation measure **MM4.9-1(b)**, which requires that construction staging areas and earthmoving equipment be located as far away from noise and vibration-sensitive land uses as possible, would also reduce construction-related noise levels. Implementation of **MM4.9-1(a)** and **MM4.9-1(b)** would ensure that impacts associated with construction activities resulting from implementation of the Proposed Project would remain **less than significant**.

Operation

Large-scale HVAC systems would be installed for the new rental residential, retail and commercial buildings located on the project site. Large HVAC systems associated with the rental residential, retail and commercial buildings can result in noise levels that average between 50 and 65 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet from the equipment. These HVAC units would be mounted within HVAC wells on the rooftops of the proposed buildings and would be screened from view by the wells and other building features. Additionally, noise from mechanical equipment associated with operation of the project would be required to comply with the State Building Code requirements pertaining to noise attenuation, and with City regulations requiring adequate buffering of such equipment. Further, the project site is currently developed with commercial uses which already generated noise as a result of operation. Therefore, noise associated with operation of the Proposed Project would be below the established standards and would be considered **less than significant**.

The Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way is located approximately 30 feet west of the project site. The City of Huntington Beach General Plan Noise Element states that the right-of-way is utilized once daily; however, during the noise monitoring site survey, two trains were observed along the right-of-way. As shown in Table 2, the noise level measurement taken along Center Ave between Gothard Avenue and the right-of-way (Location 3) captured a freight train passing by, which included a horn sounding. The average noise level measured at Location 3 was 67.4 dBA L_{eq} , and the maximum noise level was 89.8 dBA L_{eq} . These noise levels were consistent with the noise levels taken at the other locations without the train passing by. Additionally, the right-of-way would be separated from the Proposed Project site by a 30-foot drainage channel, a pedestrian walking path, as well as landscaping features. These project features would serve to reduce the noise levels of the train passing by to levels below those established by the City Municipal Code Section 8.40.050 and 8.40.060. The residential uses would also be designed such that the City's interior noise level standard would not be exceeded as set forth in Sections 8.40.070 and 8.40.080 of the Municipal Code.

4.0 Project Impacts and Mitigation

Operation of the Proposed Project would involve the delivery of goods and food stuffs to the commercial and retail operations associated with the Proposed Project, as well as refuse pick up for both the commercial and residential components.. Two noise sources would be identified with delivery operations: the noise of the diesel engines of the semi-trailer trucks and the backup beeper alarm that sounds when a truck is put in reverse, as is required and regulated by Cal-OSHA. The noise generated by idling diesel engines typically ranges between 64 and 66 dBA L_{eq} at 75 feet. This noise would be temporary in nature, typically lasting no more than five minutes. Backup beepers are required by Cal-OSHA to be at least 5 dBA above ambient noise levels. These devices are highly directional in nature, and when in reverse the trucks and the beeper alarm would be directed towards the loading area and adjacent commercial structures. Backup beepers are, of course, intended to warn persons who are behind the vehicle when it is backing up. Further, the loading docks associated with the Proposed Project would be screened from sensitive receptors both on site and off site by intervening structures and design of the loading spaces. In addition, noise generated by authorized City refuse collectors operating during regularly scheduled removal hours would be considered exempt from City noise standards.

In order to ensure that operation noise levels do not exceed the City of Huntington Beach exterior and interior noise standards for the residential component of the Proposed Project, the following mitigation measure has been identified:

MM4.8-3 Prior to issuance of building permits, the Applicant shall require that an acoustical study be prepared by a certified acoustical engineer to ensure that exterior (patios and balconies) and interior noise levels would not exceed the standards set forth in the City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code Sections 8.40.050 through 8.40.070. Final project design shall incorporate special design measures in the construction of the residential units.

Mitigation measure MM 4.8-3 would require that the project applicant conduct an acoustical analysis and incorporate design measures and features that would ensure that noise levels from operation of the Proposed Project such as loading dock activity and train pass-by do not exceed the noise standards established by the City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code. Compliance with this mitigation measure would ensure that noise levels from typical daily operation of the Proposed Project would not be in excess of the City’s Municipal Code, and therefore, this impact would be considered **less than significant**.

Threshold	Would the project result in the exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?
-----------	--

Impact 2 Construction activities associated with the Proposed Project would not generate or expose persons off site to excessive groundborne vibration.

This analysis uses the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) vibration impact thresholds for sensitive buildings, residences, and institutional land uses. These thresholds are 85 VdB, which is the vibration level that is considered by the FTA to be acceptable only if there are an infrequent number of events per day (as described in Table 4 [Human Response to Different Levels of Groundborne Vibration]). In terms of groundborne vibration impacts on nearby structures, this analysis will use the FTA’s vibration damage threshold of approximately 100 VdB for fragile buildings (HMMH 2006).

Certain construction activities that would occur under the Proposed Project would have the potential to generate groundborne vibration. Table 9 (Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment) identifies various vibration velocity levels for the types of construction equipment that would operate at the project site during construction.

Table 9 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment

Equipment	Approximate VdB			
	50 Feet	100 Feet ^a	285 Feet ^a	550 Feet ^a
Pile Driver	98	92	83	77
Large Bulldozer	81	75	66	60
Caisson Drilling	81	75	66	60
Loaded Trucks	80	74	65	61
Jackhammer	73	67	58	52
Small Bulldozer	52	46	37	31

SOURCE: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), 2005; and PBS&J, 2008.

a The vibration levels at the off-site sensitive uses are determined with the following equation from the HMMH Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, Final Report: $L_v(D) = L_v(25 \text{ ft}) - 20 \log(D/25)$, where L_v = vibration level of equipment, D = distance from the equipment to the receiver, $L_v(25 \text{ ft})$ = vibration level of equipment at 25 feet.

Construction activities would have the potential to impact sensitive receptors surrounding the project site. Based on the information presented in Table 9, vibration levels could reach approximately 98 VdB within 50 feet of the project site. As vibration level would attenuate at a rate of approximately 6 VdB per doubling of distance, vibration levels at the residential uses of the Old World Village, located approximately 285 feet north (the closest sensitive receptor), are anticipated to be 83 VdB. The residential occupants of The Ripcurl project would also be located within 50 feet of construction activities; however, The Ripcurl project occupants would not be present during the grading/excavation phase or the structural foundation phase of this project. Therefore, The Ripcurl project occupants would not be exposed to the pile driving activities that generate the greatest vibration levels and would not be exposed to vibration levels greater than 80 VdB. As such, occupants of The Ripcurl project and other nearby sensitive receptors would not experience vibration levels during construction of the Proposed Project that would exceed the FTA's vibration impact threshold of 85 VdB for human annoyance. Therefore, this impact would be considered ***less than significant***.

Operation

During operation of the Proposed Project, background operational vibration levels would be expected to average around 50 VdB, as discussed previously. This is substantially less than the FTA's vibration impact threshold of 85 VdB for human annoyance. Groundborne vibration resulting from operation of the Proposed Project would primarily be generated by trucks making periodic deliveries to the Proposed Project site (including, but not limited to, garbage trucks, freight trucks and moving trucks). The Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way is located approximately 30 feet west of the Proposed Project building area; however, the tracks are in good condition and of continuous weld throughout the project vicinity. Jointed rail causes higher vibration levels than welded rail; therefore, the occasional freight train passing by is not anticipated to generate vibration levels that would exceed the 85 VdB threshold for the occupants of the Proposed Project (FRA 2005). No substantial sources of groundborne vibration would be built as part of the Proposed Project; therefore, operation of the Proposed Project would not expose sensitive receptors on-site or off-site to excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels, and this impact would be ***less than significant***.

Threshold	Would the project result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
-----------	---

Impact 3 **The Proposed Project would generate increased local traffic volumes, but would not cause a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels.**

Operation of the Proposed Project would generate local traffic as a result of residents, employees, and patrons entering and exiting the site. A noise level increase of 3 dBA Ldn is not readily perceptible to most people. Thus, for the purpose of this analysis, a permanent increase of 3 dBA Ldn over ambient noise levels without

4.0 Project Impacts and Mitigation

the project is considered to be substantial. The increase in traffic resulting from implementation of the Proposed Project could increase the ambient noise levels at off-site locations (such as residential uses) in the project vicinity. As shown in Table 10, existing roadway noise levels were compared to future roadway noise projections without the project (2030) and future roadway noise projections with the project (2030).

Table 10 Current and Future Roadway Noise Levels Off Site

Roadway	Segment	Existing Land Use	Noise Levels in dBA L _{dn}					Significance
			Existing	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project ^a	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project Increase	Year 2030 With Project	Project Related Increase	
Goldenwest Avenue	North of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial	71.9	72.5	0.6	72.5	0.0	No
Goldenwest Avenue	South of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial	71.8	72.4	0.6	72.4	0.0	No
Goldenwest Avenue	North of McFadden Avenue	Commercial	71.2	71.9	0.7	71.9	0.0	No
Goldenwest Avenue	South of McFadden Avenue	Residential	71.1	71.8	0.7	71.8	0.0	No
Gothard Street	South of McFadden Avenue	Institutional/ Vacant	65.4	66.4	1.0	66.4	0.0	No
Gothard Street	North of Center Avenue	Institutional/ Commercial	65.2	66.1	0.9	66.0	-0.1	No
Gothard Street	South of Center Avenue	Institutional/ Commercial	65.3	65.6	0.3	65.5	-0.1	No
Beach Boulevard	North of Center Avenue	Commercial	74.2	75.0	0.8	75.0	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of Center Avenue	Commercial	74.2	74.8	0.6	74.8	0.0	No
Goldenwest Avenue	North of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	70.4	71.0	0.6	71.0	0.0	No
Goldenwest Avenue	South of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	70.2	70.6	0.4	70.6	0.0	No
Gothard Street	North of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	66.0	66.1	0.1	66.4	0.3	No
Gothard Street	South of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	66.4	67.0	0.6	67.0	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	North of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	74.5	75.2	0.7	75.2	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of Edinger Avenue	Commercial	74.5	74.9	0.4	74.9	0.0	No
Newland Street	North of Edinger Avenue	Residential	67.9	69.0	1.1	69.0	0.0	No
Newland Street	South of Edinger Avenue	Residential	67.9	68.8	0.9	68.8	0.0	No
Gothard Street	North of Heil Avenue	Commercial	66.2	66.9	0.7	66.9	0.0	No

Table 10 Current and Future Roadway Noise Levels Off Site

Roadway	Segment	Existing Land Use	Noise Levels in dBA L_{dn}					
			Existing	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project ^a	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project Increase	Year 2030 With Project	Project Related Increase	Significance
Gothard Street	South of Heil Avenue	Commercial	67.2	68.0	0.8	67.9	-0.1	No
Beach Boulevard	North of Heil Avenue	Commercial	74.0	74.5	0.5	74.5	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of Heil Avenue	Commercial	74.0	74.3	0.3	74.3	0.0	No
Newland Street	North of Heil Avenue	Residential	64.3	65.1	0.8	65.1	0.0	No
Newland Street	South of Heil Avenue	Residential	64.3	65.1	0.8	65.1	0.0	No
Gothard Street	North of Warner Avenue	Commercial/Residential	67.2	67.9	0.7	67.9	0.0	No
Gothard Street	South of Warner Avenue	Commercial	66.9	67.5	0.6	67.5	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	North of Warner Avenue	Commercial	74.1	74.4	0.3	74.4	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of Warner Avenue	Commercial	74.0	74.2	0.2	74.2	0.0	No
Newland Street	North of Warner Avenue	Commercial/Residential	67.1	67.8	0.7	67.8	0.0	No
Newland Street	South of Warner Avenue	Commercial/Residential	65.4	66.1	0.7	66.1	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	North of McFadden Avenue	Commercial	73.9	74.7	0.8	74.7	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of McFadden Avenue	Commercial	73.9	74.7	0.8	74.7	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	North of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial/Residential	74.0	74.8	0.8	74.8	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of Bolsa Avenue	Commercial	74.0	74.7	0.7	74.7	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	North of Hazard Avenue	Commercial/Recreation	73.2	74.0	0.8	74.0	0.0	No
Beach Boulevard	South of Hazard Avenue	Commercial/Recreation	73.1	73.9	0.8	73.9	0.0	No
Magnolia Street	North of Edinger Avenue	Residential	68.4	69.0	0.6	69.0	0.0	No
Magnolia Street	South of Edinger Avenue	Residential	68.4	69.1	0.7	69.1	0.0	No
Bolsa Avenue	West of Goldenwest Avenue	Commercial	69.2	70.3	1.1	70.3	0.0	No

4.0 Project Impacts and Mitigation

Table 10 Current and Future Roadway Noise Levels Off Site

Roadway	Segment	Existing Land Use	Noise Levels in dBA L _{dn}					Significance
			Existing	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project ^a	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project Increase	Year 2030 With Project	Project Related Increase	
Bolsa Avenue	East of Goldenwest Avenue	Commercial	70.2	71.5	1.3	71.5	0.0	No
McFadden Avenue	West of Goldenwest Avenue	Residential	67.3	67.5	0.2	67.5	0.0	No
McFadden Avenue	East of Goldenwest Avenue	Residential/Commercial	68.2	68.2	0.0	68.4	0.2	No
McFadden Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Residential/Institutional	68.4	68.5	0.1	68.5	0.0	No
McFadden Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Vacant/Commercial	66.9	67.6	0.7	67.5	-0.1	No
Center Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Institutional	54.6	56.0	1.4	56.0	0.0	No
Center Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	60.7	62.1	1.4	62.1	0.0	No
Center Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	65.7	66.5	0.8	66.4	-0.1	No
Edinger Avenue	West of Goldenwest Avenue	Commercial/Residential	67.4	68.4	1.0	68.4	0.0	No
Edinger Avenue	East of Goldenwest Avenue	Institutional/Commercial	67.7	68.6	0.9	68.6	0.0	No
Edinger Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Commercial	68.7	69.4	0.7	69.4	0.0	No
Edinger Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	68.8	69.5	0.7	69.5	0.0	No
Edinger Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	69.5	70.4	0.9	70.3	-0.1	No
Edinger Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	68.5	69.2	0.7	69.1	-0.1	No
Edinger Avenue	West of Newland Street	Residential	66.7	67.4	0.7	67.4	0.0	No
Edinger Avenue	East of Newland Street	Residential	67.0	67.9	0.9	67.9	0.0	No
Heil Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Commercial/Residential	66.5	67.6	1.1	67.5	-0.1	No
Heil Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	66.0	67.6	1.6	67.6	0.0	No
Heil Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Residential	65.1	66.9	1.8	66.9	0.0	No
Heil Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	63.5	65.2	1.7	65.2	0.0	No

Table 10 Current and Future Roadway Noise Levels Off Site

Roadway	Segment	Existing Land Use	Noise Levels in dBA L_{dn}					Project Related Increase	Significance
			Existing	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project ^a	Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project Increase	Year 2030 With Project	Year 2030 With Project Increase		
Heil Street	West of Newland Street	Residential	61.4	62.6	1.2	62.6	0.0	No	
Heil Street	East of Newland Street	Residential	56.6	57.1	0.5	57.1	0.0	No	
Warner Avenue	West of Gothard Street	Commercial/Residential	71.3	71.8	0.5	71.8	0.0	No	
Warner Avenue	East of Gothard Street	Commercial	70.7	71.2	0.5	71.2	0.0	No	
Warner Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	71.4	71.8	0.4	71.8	0.0	No	
Warner Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	70.8	71.3	0.5	71.3	0.0	No	
Warner Avenue	West of Newland Street	Commercial/Residential	69.2	69.6	0.4	69.6	0.0	No	
Warner Avenue	East of Newland Street	Commercial/Residential	69.4	70.2	0.8	70.2	0.0	No	
McFadden Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	67.2	67.7	0.5	67.7	0.0	No	
McFadden Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial	67.3	68.0	0.7	68.0	0.0	No	
Bolsa Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Residential	67.5	69.0	1.5	69.0	0.0	No	
Bolsa Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Residential	66.9	67.8	0.9	67.8	0.0	No	
Hazard Avenue	West of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Recreation	66.8	66.7	-0.1	66.7	0.0	No	
Hazard Avenue	East of Beach Boulevard	Commercial/Recreation	66.5	67.1	0.6	67.1	0.0	No	
Edinger Avenue	West of Magnolia Street	Residential	67.3	68.0	0.7	68.0	0.0	No	
Edinger Avenue	East of Magnolia Street	Residential	67.4	68.0	0.6	67.9	-0.1	No	

SOURCE: PBS&J 2008. Calculation data and results are provided in Appendix A

a: Cumulative Year 2030 without Project assumes buildout of the project site consistent with the current General Plan and Zoning designation

Note: NB – Northbound, SB – Southbound, WB – Westbound, EB – Eastbound

As identified above, the majority of the study roadway segments would not experience an increase in noise levels due to the Proposed Project traffic volumes, while the greatest increase between Cumulative Year 2030 Without Project and Year 2030 with Project roadway-generated noise levels would occur at McFadden Avenue east of Goldenwest Avenue. Noise in this area is projected to increase by 0.2 dBA as a result of the Proposed Project. This increase would be inaudible/imperceptible to most people and would not exceed the identified threshold of significance. Therefore, this impact would be considered **less than significant**.

Impact 4 Increased human activity associated with the operation of the proposed project would not cause a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels.

The Proposed Project is a GPA and ZTA that would allow for a mixed-use residential and retail center. Outdoor uses proposed for the site include a pool and spa, pedestrian walking paths and other commercial amenities such as outdoor kiosks. Once operational, these activities, as well as those proposed under the retail portion of the project are not anticipated to be greater than the established 60 dBA limit for areas zoned commercial. According to data referenced by the EPA, normal human conversation produces noise levels of 65 dBA at a distance of approximately three feet. The closest sensitive receptor during operation of the Village at Bella Terra project would be the residential uses at The Ripcurl project, located approximately 50 feet west of the Proposed Project site. As such, the noise associated with outdoor events would attenuate at a rate of 6 dBA per doubling of distance to levels approximately 41 dBA at a distance of 50 feet, which would be below the City of Huntington Beach Noise Ordinance Exterior Noise Standards. Additionally, design features such as landscaping and walls, as well as the project site layout would screen operational noise levels from off-site sensitive uses such as the residential occupants at The Ripcurl project. Therefore, noise levels resulting from increases in human activity at the Proposed Project site would be considered **less than significant**.

Parking structures can be a source of annoyance due to automobile engine start-ups and acceleration, and the activation of car alarms. Parking structures can generate L_{eq} noise levels of between 49 dBA L_{eq} (tire squeals) to 74 dBA L_{eq} (car alarms) at 50 feet. Due to the high level of traffic noise along streets surrounding the project site (ranging from 67.4 dBA along Center Avenue to 68.7 dBA along Edinger Avenue), normal daytime parking structure L_{eq} noise would not likely be audible due to the masking of noise by traffic on nearby roadways. Additionally, noise from the below-grade parking structures would not be audible to the residential uses associated with the Proposed Project. Therefore, noise impacts relating to on-site parking would be considered **less than significant**.

It is anticipated that a the City of Huntington Beach Fire Department would request a rooftop helipad to be constructed on one of the taller structures under Option 2 to be used only during emergencies such as a fire in the building. However, potential noise generated by a helicopter during emergencies would not occur very often, if at all. Therefore, potential noise impacts from helicopters at the Proposed Project site would be **less than significant**.

Threshold	Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
-----------	---

Impact 5 Construction activities associated with the Proposed Project with the Proposed Project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels.

Construction activities occurring within the project site would involve demolition, grading, and excavation activities, followed by construction and external finishing of the proposed facilities and associated parking areas, as well as roadway and landscaping improvements. These activities would involve the use of heavy equipment. Construction activities would also involve the use of smaller power tools, generators, and other equipment that generates noise. Each stage of construction would use a different mix of equipment, and noise levels would vary based on the amount and types of equipment in operation and the location of the activity related to potential receptors.

Under Section 8.40.090(d) (Special Provisions) of Chapter 8.40 of the City's Municipal Code, noise sources associated with construction are exempt from the requirements of the Municipal Code, provided that construction activities do not occur between the hours of 8:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. on weekdays, including Saturday, or at any time on Sunday or a federal holiday. Additionally, mitigation measures **MM1(a)** and **MM1(b)** have been identified to minimize or reduce construction-related noise levels to the extent feasible. However, as shown in Table 8, noise levels during pile driving activities could reach up to 81 dBA at the residential uses of the Old World Village, located approximately 480 feet to the north of the Proposed Project. The construction contractor would be required to implement noise attenuation measures during pile driving

activities, including but not limited to the utilization of noise blankets, which would reduce noise levels up to 10 dBA. However, pile driving activities would last for approximately 7 months, and therefore, this temporary increase in ambient noise levels would be noticeable and would likely be cause for human annoyance. Implementation of the above mentioned mitigation measures would reduce the noise levels associated with impact pile driving activities, but not to a level of less-than-significant. Therefore, construction related temporary increases in ambient noise levels would be considered **significant and unavoidable**.

4.5 Cumulative Impacts

A cumulative impact analysis is only provided for those thresholds that result in a less-than-significant or significant and unavoidable impact. A cumulative impact analysis is not provided for Effects Found Not to Be Significant, which result in no project-related impacts.

The geographic context for the analysis of cumulative noise impacts depends on the impact being analyzed. For construction impacts, only the immediate area around the Proposed Project site would be included in the cumulative context. For operational/roadway related impacts, the context is build-out of the City of Huntington Beach General Plan, including existing and future development of cumulative projects within the City of Huntington Beach, as well as adjacent communities that would be potentially impacted. This cumulative impact analysis considers development of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with ambient growth, and other development within the vicinity of the Proposed Project in the City of Huntington Beach and surrounding jurisdictions. Noise is by definition a localized phenomenon, and significantly reduces in magnitude as distance from the source increases. Consequently, only projects and growth due to occur in the Huntington Beach area would be likely to contribute to cumulative noise impacts.

Increases in noise at sensitive uses would occur as a result of construction of the Proposed Project, along with other construction in the vicinity, including the proposed The Village at Bella Terra project to be located adjacent to the project site. As discussed in Impact 1, construction of the Proposed Project would expose many nearby sensitive receptors to exterior noise levels above the 55 dBA CNEL noise standard identified in the Huntington Beach Municipal Code. This construction noise would be temporary, and mitigation measures are being implemented to reduce the impact of the noise; however, exterior noise levels would still be above 55 dBA CNEL.

Other construction that may occur in the vicinity of the Proposed Project site would contribute noise levels similar to those generated for the Proposed Project. Where this development adjoins the Proposed Project construction, the combined construction noise levels would have a cumulative effect on nearby sensitive uses. Noise is not strictly additive, and a doubling of noise sources would not cause a doubling of noise levels; however, cumulative construction noise levels would be in excess of 60 dBA CNEL at nearby sensitive receptors.

Under Section 8.40.090(d) (Special Provisions) of Chapter 8.40 of the City's Municipal Code, noise sources associated with construction are exempt from the requirements of the Municipal Code, provided that construction activities do not occur between the hours of 8:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. on weekdays, including Saturday, or at any time on Sunday or a federal holiday. Because compliance with this construction time limit is required by the Huntington Beach Municipal Code, the Proposed Project and all other cumulative development would be exempt, and the cumulative impact associated with construction noise in the Huntington Beach area would be considered less than significant. Similarly, because construction-related noise generated under the Proposed Project would be exempt from established noise standards, the cumulative impact of the project would also be **less than significant**.

With regard to stationary sources, noise would be generated from sources in the Proposed Project and other projects in the vicinity, including the construction of The Ripcurl project. The major stationary source of noise that will be introduced into the Huntington Beach area would likely be HVAC equipment located on the rooftops of new developments and residential uses. As discussed this HVAC equipment generally produces noise levels of around 50 and 65 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet from the equipment. Shielding, which is required, could reduce these noise levels by up to 15 dBA, to about 35 to 50 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet, depending on whether the

4.0 Project Impacts and Mitigation

equipment serves residential or commercial uses. Because shielding would be required for all development associated with the Proposed Project, noise levels from individual stationary sources would not exceed the applicable City noise standard, and because this shielding would be expected to be installed on all new development in the Huntington Beach area, it is expected that all rooftop stationary sources in the Proposed Project area would similarly generate **less-than-significant** noise levels.

Parking structures can generate L_{eq} noise levels of between 49 dBA L_{eq} (tire squeals) to 74 dBA L_{eq} (car alarms) at 50 feet. Due to the high level of traffic noise along streets surrounding the project site, normal daytime parking structure L_{eq} noise would not likely be audible due to the masking of noise by traffic on nearby roadways. Due to distance from sensitive receptors, it is unlikely that noise from multiple related projects would interact to create a significant combined noise impact from parking structures.

As discussed above, noise is not strictly additive; a doubling of noise sources does not create a doubling of noise levels. Because all rooftop equipment would be shielded, no source would generate maximum noise levels of greater than 57 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet. Consequently, multiple units would have to be located within 50 feet of a receptor to achieve noise levels that would exceed the City standards. The development associated with the Proposed Project and other nearby projects are not so dense that multiple stationary units would be so closely spaced, either on site or off site. Consequently, the cumulative effect of multiple HVAC units, mechanical equipment, and parking structures would be **less than significant**.

Construction of the Proposed Project would produce temporary vibration impacts that would be less than significant. Cumulative development in the Huntington Beach area, including The Ripcurl project is not considered likely to result in the exposure of on-site or off-site receptors to excessive ground-borne vibration, due to the localized nature of vibration impacts, the fact that all construction would not occur at the same time and at the same location, and the largely built-out nature of the City, which would usually preclude the use of heavy equipment such as bulldozers. Aside from The Ripcurl project, no other projects are proposed in close enough proximity to affect the same receptors as the Proposed Project. Only receptors located in close proximity to each construction site would be potentially affected by both activities. Construction activities associated with The Ripcurl project, which is adjacent to the Proposed Project, are anticipated to overlap with construction activities for the Proposed Project for some amount of time, and sensitive uses on or in the immediate vicinity of the Proposed Project site may be exposed to two sources of ground-borne vibration simultaneously. However, for the combined vibration impact from the two projects to reach cumulatively significant levels, heavy construction activity from both projects would have to occur simultaneously within 50 feet of any receptor. Because buildings associated with the Proposed Project would not be within 50 feet of buildings associated with The Ripcurl project, it is not likely that heavy construction activity from both projects would simultaneously occur at distances of 50 feet or less from the same receptor. Therefore, vibration from future development could not combine with construction vibration of the Proposed Project to result in a significant cumulative impact. The contribution of the Proposed Project to such an impact would not be cumulatively considerable because the Proposed Project would include mitigation to reduce the project's impact, and the cumulative impact of the project would be **less than significant**.

Cumulative noise impacts would occur primarily as a result of increased traffic on local roadways due to the Proposed Project and other projects within the project site vicinity. Therefore, cumulative traffic-generated noise impacts have been assessed based on the contribution of the Proposed Project to the future cumulative base traffic volumes in the project vicinity. The noise levels associated with cumulative base traffic volumes without the project, and cumulative base traffic volumes with the project are identified in Table 10. Noise level increases would reach a maximum of 0.3 dBA Ldn at one of the study roadway segments in the project vicinity, which is inaudible/imperceptible to most people. The contribution of the Proposed Project would range from 0 dBA to 0.3 dBA across all of the intersections that were studied. No study roadway segments would increase by 3.0 dBA Ldn. The 0 dBA to 0.3 dBA contribution of the Proposed Project to future roadway noise levels would not exceed the identified thresholds of significance, and therefore, would not be cumulatively considerable. Further, noise levels generated from operation of the Proposed Project are not anticipated to be above the established 3.0 dBA thresholds, as the site is planned for mixed-use residential and retail uses, which do not generate as much noise as industrial developments.

Periodic and temporary noise levels would be generated by construction of the Proposed Project along with other cumulative development in the vicinity. As discussed in Impact 4.9-1, the Proposed Project would, by itself, expose some receptors to noise levels in excess of City guidelines. However, construction noise impacts are localized in nature and decrease substantially with distance; consequently, in order to achieve a substantial cumulative increase in construction noise levels, more than one source emitting high levels of construction noise would need to be in close proximity to a noise receptor. As discussed previously, the proposed The Ripcurl project site is located adjacent to the Proposed Project, and construction activity associated with this project is expected to overlap with construction activity for the Proposed Project. Thus, the possibility exists that a substantial cumulative increase in construction noise levels could result from construction associated with the Proposed Project and the proposed The Ripcurl project; however, as discussed previously, under Section 8.40.090(d) (Special Provisions) of Chapter 8.40 of the City's Municipal Code, noise sources associated with construction are exempt from the requirements of the Municipal Code. All of the projects analyzed in the cumulative context that would be constructed concurrently with the Proposed Project would be required to comply with the provisions of the Municipal Code. However, as shown in Table 8, noise levels during pile driving activities could reach up to 81 dBA at the residential portion of the Old World Village located approximately 480 feet to the north of the Proposed Project. The construction contractor would be required to implement noise attenuation measures during pile driving activities, including but not limited to the utilization of noise blankets, which would reduce noise levels up to 10 dBA. However, pile driving activities would last for approximately 7 months, and therefore, this temporary increase in ambient noise levels would be noticeable and would likely be cause for human annoyance. As the construction activities associated with the Proposed Project alone would impose a significant temporary increase in ambient noise levels that could combine with the effects of cumulative development, the Proposed Project's contribution to the impact would be cumulatively considerable; therefore, the cumulative impact of the project's construction related temporary increases in ambient noise levels would be considered **significant and unavoidable**.

4.6 References

- Austin-Foust Associates. 2008. *Bella Terra Traffic Impact Analysis*. May 21.
- Harris Miller Miller & Hanson Inc. 2006. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, Final Report*, May.
- Hendriks, R. 1998. *Technical Noise Supplement: A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol*. California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Sacramento, California, October.
- Huntington Beach, City of. 1996. *Huntington Beach General Plan*. Prepared by Envicom Corporation, 13 May.
- United States Department of Transportation. Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. *High-Speed Ground Transportation Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*, October
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1971. *Noise from Construction Equipment and Operations, Building Equipment and Home Appliances*.

Appendix A Noise Modeling Data

TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS

Project Number: 0D2138700
 Project Name: HB Ripcurl EIR

Background Information

Model Description: FHWA Highway Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108) with California Vehicle Noise (CALVENO) Emission Levels.
 Analysis Scenario(s): Existing Conditions
 Source of Traffic Volumes: Austin-Foust Associates
 Community Noise Descriptor: L_{dn}: X CNEL:

Assumed 24-Hour Traffic Distribution:	Day	Evening	Night
Total ADT Volumes	77.70%	12.70%	9.60%
Medium-Duty Trucks	87.43%	5.05%	7.52%
Heavy-Duty Trucks	89.10%	2.84%	8.06%

Traffic Noise Levels

Analysis Condition	Roadway Segment	Land Use	Lanes	Median Width	Peak	ADT Volume	Design Speed (mph)	Dist. from Center to Receptor'	Alpha Factor	Barrier Attn. dB(A)	Vehicle Mix		Peak Hou	24-Hour
					Hour Volume						Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	L _{eq} dB(A)	L _{dn} dB(A)
	Goldenwest Ave at Bolsa, NB	Commercial	6	15	0	39,600	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.9
	Goldenwest Ave at Bolsa, SB	Commercial	6	15	0	39,000	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
	Goldenwest Ave at McFadden, NB	Commercial	6	10	0	34,700	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.2
	Goldenwest Ave at McFadden, SB	Residential	6	10	0	33,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.1
	Gothard St at McFadden, SB	Institutional/Vacant	4	10	0	13,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.4
	Gothard St at Center, NB	Institutional/Commercial	4	10	0	12,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.2
	Gothard St at Center, SB	Institutional/Commercial	4	10	0	12,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.3
	Beach Blvd at Center, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	58,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.2
	Beach Blvd at Center, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	57,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.2
	Goldenwest Ave at Edinger, NB	Commercial	6	10	0	28,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.4
	Goldenwest Ave at Edinger, SB	Commercial	6	10	0	27,300	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.2
	Gothard St at Edinger Ave, NB	Commercial	4	10	0	14,900	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.0
	Gothard St at Edinger Ave, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	16,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.4
	Beach Blvd at Edinger, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	62,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.5
	Beach Blvd at Edinger, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	62,300	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.5
	Newland St at Edinger, NB	Residential	4	10	0	17,300	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9
	Newland St at Edinger, SB	Residential	4	10	0	17,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9

Gothard St at Heil, NB	Commercial	4	10	0	15,900	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.2
Gothard St at Heil, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	19,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.2
Beach Blvd at Heil, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	55,600	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Beach Blvd at Heil, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	55,200	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Newland St at Heil, NB	Residential	4	10	0	14,100	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	64.3
Newland St at Heil, SB	Residential	4	10	0	14,200	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	64.3
Gothard St at Warner, NB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	19,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.2
Gothard St at Warner, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	18,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Beach Blvd at Warner, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	57,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.1
Beach Blvd at Warner, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	55,300	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Newland St at Warner Ave, NB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	19,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.1
Newland St at Warner Ave, SB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	18,000	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.4
Beach Blvd at McFadden, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	54,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	73.9
Beach Blvd at McFadden, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	54,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	73.9
Beach Blvd at Bolsa, NB	Commercial/Residential	8	15	0	55,700	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Beach Blvd at Bolsa, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	55,000	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Beach Blvd at Hazard Ave, NB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	53,600	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	73.2
Beach Blvd at Hazard Ave, NB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	51,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	73.1
Magnolia St at Edinger, NB	Residential	6	10	0	24,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.4
Magnolia St at Edinger, SB	Residential	6	10	0	24,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.4
Bolsa Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Commercial	6	15	0	28,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.2
Bolsa Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Commercial	8	15	0	31,000	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.2
McFadden Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Residential	4	10	0	14,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.3
McFadden Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Residential/Commercial	4	10	0	18,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.2
McFadden Ave at Gothard, WB	Residential/Institutional	4	10	0	19,300	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.4
McFadden Ave at Gothard, EB	Vacant/Commercial	4	10	0	18,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Center Ave at Gothard, WB	Institutional	4	10	0	1,500	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	54.6
Center Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	6,200	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	60.7
Center Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	4	10	0	19,400	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.7
Edinger Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	19,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.4
Edinger Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Institutional/Commercial	6	10	0	20,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.7
Edinger Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	26,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.7
Edinger Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	6	10	0	26,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.8
Edinger Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	31,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.5
Edinger Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	26,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.5
Edinger Ave at Newland, WB	Residential	4	10	0	17,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.7
Edinger Ave at Newland, EB	Residential	4	10	0	19,000	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.0
Heil Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	17,000	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.5
Heil Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	15,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.0
Heil Ave at Beach, WB	Residential	2	10	0	12,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.1
Heil Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	2	10	0	8,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	63.5

Heil St at Newland St, WB	Residential	4	10	0	7,300	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	61.4
Heil St at Newland St, EB	Residential	4	10	0	2,400	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	56.6
Warner Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	34,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.3
Warner Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	6	10	0	30,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.7
Warner Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	36,000	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.4
Warner Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	31,200	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.8
Warner Ave at Newland St, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	30,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.2
Warner Ave at Newland St, EB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	32,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.4
McFadden Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	19,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.2
McFadden Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	20,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.3
Bolsa Ave at Beach, WB	Residential	4	10	0	21,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
Bolsa Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	18,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Hazard Ave at Beach Blvd, WB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	12,200	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.8
Hazard Ave at Beach Blvd, EB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	11,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.5
Edinger at Magnolia St, WB	Residential	6	10	0	18,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.3
Edinger at Magnolia St, EB	Residential	6	10	0	19,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.4

¹ Distance is from the centerline of the roadway segment to the receptor location.

TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS

Project Number: 0D2138700
 Project Name: HB Ripcurl EIR

Background Information

Model Description: FHWA Highway Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108) with California Vehicle Noise (CALVENO) Emission Levels.
 Analysis Scenario(s): General Plan Option 2
 Source of Traffic Volumes: Austin-Foust Associates
 Community Noise Descriptor: L_{50} : X CNEL: _____

Assumed 24-Hour Traffic Distribution:	Day	Evening	Night
Total ADT Volumes	77.70%	12.70%	9.60%
Medium-Duty Trucks	87.43%	5.05%	7.52%
Heavy-Duty Trucks	89.10%	2.84%	8.06%

Traffic Noise Levels

Analysis Condition	Land Use	Lanes	Median Width	Peak Hour Volume	ADT Volume	Design Speed (mph)	Dist. from Center to Receptor ¹	Alpha Factor	Barrier Attn. dB(A)	Vehicle Mix Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Peak Hour L_{50} dB(A)	24-Hour Ldn dB(A)
Goldenwest Ave at Bolsa, NB	Commercial	6	15	0	44,960	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	72.5
Goldenwest Ave at Bolsa, SB	Commercial	6	15	0	44,340	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	72.4
Goldenwest Ave at McFadden, NB	Commercial	6	10	0	40,340	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.9
Goldenwest Ave at McFadden, SB	Residential	6	10	0	39,580	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
Gothard St at McFadden, SB	Institutional	4	10	0	16,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.4
Gothard St at Center, NB	Institutional/Commercial	4	10	0	15,190	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.0
Gothard St at Center, SB	Institutional/Commercial	4	10	0	13,530	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.5
Beach Blvd at Center, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	69,600	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	75.0
Beach Blvd at Center, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	67,120	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.8
Goldenwest Ave at Edinger, NB	Commercial	6	10	0	32,480	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.0
Goldenwest Ave at Edinger, SB	Commercial	6	10	0	29,570	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.6
Gothard St at Edinger Ave, NB	Commercial	4	10	0	16,330	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.4
Gothard St at Edinger Ave, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	18,890	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.0
Beach Blvd at Edinger, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	72,740	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	75.2
Beach Blvd at Edinger, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	68,430	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.9
Newland St at Edinger, NB	Residential	4	10	0	22,070	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.0
Newland St at Edinger, SB	Residential	4	10	0	21,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.8
Gothard St at Heil, NB	Commercial	4	10	0	18,410	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Gothard St at Heil, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	23,540	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9
Beach Blvd at Heil, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	62,620	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.5
Beach Blvd at Heil, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	59,030	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.3
Newland St at Heil, NB	Residential	4	10	0	17,100	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.1
Newland St at Heil, SB	Residential	4	10	0	16,790	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.1
Gothard St at Warner, NB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	23,450	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9
Gothard St at Warner, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	21,260	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
Beach Blvd at Warner, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	60,940	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.4
Beach Blvd at Warner, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	57,850	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.2
Newland St at Warner Ave, NB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	22,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.8
Newland St at Warner Ave, SB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	21,500	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.1
Beach Blvd at McFadden, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	64,580	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.7
Beach Blvd at McFadden, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	65,260	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.7
Beach Blvd at Bolsa, NB	Commercial/Residential	8	15	0	67,420	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.8
Beach Blvd at Bolsa, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	65,690	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.7
Beach Blvd at Hazard Ave, NB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	64,050	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Beach Blvd at Hazard Ave, SB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	62,340	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	73.9
Magnolia St at Edinger, NB	Residential	6	10	0	28,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.0
Magnolia St at Edinger, SB	Residential	6	10	0	28,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.1
Bolsa Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Commercial	6	15	0	37,090	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.3
Bolsa Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Commercial	8	15	0	42,490	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.5
McFadden Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Residential	4	10	0	15,760	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
McFadden Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Residential/Commercial	4	10	0	19,520	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.4
McFadden Ave at Gothard, WB	Residential/Institutional	4	10	0	19,720	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.5
McFadden Ave at Gothard, EB	Vacant/Commercial	4	10	0	21,480	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
Center Ave at Gothard, WB	Institutional	4	10	0	2,100	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	56.0
Center Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	8,440	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	62.1
Center Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	4	10	0	23,000	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.4
Edinger Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	24,130	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.4
Edinger Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Institutional/Commercial	6	10	0	25,380	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.6
Edinger Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	30,690	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.4
Edinger Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	6	10	0	31,230	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.5
Edinger Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	38,010	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.3
Edinger Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	30,960	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.1
Edinger Ave at Newland, WB	Residential	4	10	0	20,730	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.4
Edinger Ave at Newland, EB	Residential	4	10	0	23,360	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9
Heil Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	21,470	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
Heil Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	21,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.6
Heil Ave at Beach, WB	Residential	2	10	0	19,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Heil Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	2	10	0	12,890	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.2
Heil St at Newland St, WB	Residential	4	10	0	9,590	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	62.6
Heil St at Newland St, EB	Residential	4	10	0	2,700	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	57.1
Warner Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	39,390	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
Warner Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	6	10	0	34,200	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.2
Warner Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	39,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
Warner Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	35,090	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.3
Warner Ave at Newland St, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	34,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.6
Warner Ave at Newland St, EB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	39,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.2
McFadden Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	22,280	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.7
McFadden Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	23,760	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.0
Bolsa Ave at Beach, WB	Residential	4	10	0	29,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.0
Bolsa Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	22,570	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.8
Hazard Ave at Beach Blvd, WB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	11,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.7
Hazard Ave at Beach Blvd, EB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	13,090	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.1
Edinger at Magnolia St, WB	Residential	6	10	0	22,170	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.0
Edinger at Magnolia St, EB	Residential	6	10	0	21,870	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9

¹ Distance is from the centerline of the roadway segment to the receptor location.

TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS

Project Number: 0D2138700
 Project Name: HB Ripcurl EIR

Background Information

Model Description: FHWA Highway Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108) with California Vehicle Noise (CALVENO) Emission Levels.
 Analysis Scenario(s): General Plan Cumulative Conditions
 Source of Traffic Volumes: Austin-Foust Associates
 Community Noise Descriptor: L_{50} : X CNEL: _____

Assumed 24-Hour Traffic Distribution:	Day	Evening	Night
Total ADT Volumes	77.70%	12.70%	9.60%
Medium-Duty Trucks	87.43%	5.05%	7.52%
Heavy-Duty Trucks	89.10%	2.84%	8.06%

Traffic Noise Levels

Analysis Condition	Land Use	Lanes	Median Width	Peak Hour Volume	ADT Volume	Design Speed (mph)	Dist. from Center to Receptor ¹	Alpha Factor	Barrier Attn. dB(A)	Vehicle Mix Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Peak Hour L_{50} dB(A)	24-Hour Ldn dB(A)
Goldenwest Ave at Bolsa, NB	Commercial	6	15	0	45,000	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	72.5
Goldenwest Ave at Bolsa, SB	Commercial	6	15	0	44,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	72.4
Goldenwest Ave at McFadden, NB	Commercial	6	10	0	40,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.9
Goldenwest Ave at McFadden, SB	Residential	6	10	0	39,600	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
Gothard St at McFadden, SB	Institutional	4	10	0	16,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.4
Gothard St at Center, NB	Institutional/Commercial	4	10	0	15,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.1
Gothard St at Center, SB	Institutional/Commercial	4	10	0	13,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.6
Beach Blvd at Center, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	69,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	75.0
Beach Blvd at Center, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	67,200	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.8
Goldenwest Ave at Edinger, NB	Commercial	6	10	0	32,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.0
Goldenwest Ave at Edinger, SB	Commercial	6	10	0	29,600	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.6
Gothard St at Edinger Ave, NB	Commercial	4	10	0	15,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.1
Gothard St at Edinger Ave, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	19,000	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.0
Beach Blvd at Edinger, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	72,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	75.2
Beach Blvd at Edinger, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	68,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.9
Newland St at Edinger, NB	Residential	4	10	0	22,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.0
Newland St at Edinger, SB	Residential	4	10	0	21,500	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.8
Gothard St at Heil, NB	Commercial	4	10	0	18,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Gothard St at Heil, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	23,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.0
Beach Blvd at Heil, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	62,700	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.5
Beach Blvd at Heil, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	59,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.3
Newland St at Heil, NB	Residential	4	10	0	17,100	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.1
Newland St at Heil, SB	Residential	4	10	0	16,800	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.1
Gothard St at Warner, NB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	23,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9
Gothard St at Warner, SB	Commercial	4	10	0	21,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
Beach Blvd at Warner, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	61,000	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.4
Beach Blvd at Warner, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	57,900	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.2
Newland St at Warner Ave, NB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	22,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.8
Newland St at Warner Ave, SB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	21,500	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.1
Beach Blvd at McFadden, NB	Commercial	8	15	0	64,700	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.7
Beach Blvd at McFadden, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	65,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.7
Beach Blvd at Bolsa, NB	Commercial/Residential	8	15	0	66,700	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.8
Beach Blvd at Bolsa, SB	Commercial	8	15	0	65,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.7
Beach Blvd at Hazard Ave, NB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	64,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	74.0
Beach Blvd at Hazard Ave, SB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	62,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	73.9
Magnolia St at Edinger, NB	Residential	6	10	0	28,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.0
Magnolia St at Edinger, SB	Residential	6	10	0	28,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.1
Bolsa Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Commercial	6	15	0	37,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.3
Bolsa Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Commercial	8	15	0	42,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.5
McFadden Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Residential	4	10	0	15,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.5
McFadden Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Residential/Commercial	4	10	0	18,700	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.2
McFadden Ave at Gothard, WB	Residential/Institutional	4	10	0	19,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.5
McFadden Ave at Gothard, EB	Vacant/Commercial	4	10	0	21,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.6
Center Ave at Gothard, WB	Institutional	4	10	0	2,100	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	56.0
Center Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	8,600	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	62.1
Center Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	4	10	0	23,200	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.5
Edinger Ave at Goldenwest, WB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	24,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.4
Edinger Ave at Goldenwest, EB	Institutional/Commercial	6	10	0	25,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.6
Edinger Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	30,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.4
Edinger Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	6	10	0	31,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.5
Edinger Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	38,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.4
Edinger Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	31,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.2
Edinger Ave at Newland, WB	Residential	4	10	0	20,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.4
Edinger Ave at Newland, EB	Residential	4	10	0	23,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.9
Heil Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	21,500	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.6
Heil Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	21,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.6
Heil Ave at Beach, WB	Residential	2	10	0	19,100	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.9
Heil Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	2	10	0	12,900	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	65.2
Heil St at Newland St, WB	Residential	4	10	0	9,600	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	62.6
Heil St at Newland St, EB	Residential	4	10	0	2,700	35	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	57.1
Warner Ave at Gothard, WB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	39,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
Warner Ave at Gothard, EB	Commercial	6	10	0	34,200	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.2
Warner Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial	6	10	0	39,400	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.8
Warner Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	6	10	0	35,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	71.3
Warner Ave at Newland St, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	34,400	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.6
Warner Ave at Newland St, EB	Commercial/Residential	4	15	0	39,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	70.2
McFadden Ave at Beach, WB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	22,300	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.7
McFadden Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial	4	10	0	23,800	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.0
Bolsa Ave at Beach, WB	Residential	4	10	0	29,700	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	69.0
Bolsa Ave at Beach, EB	Commercial/Residential	4	10	0	22,600	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.8
Hazard Ave at Beach Blvd, WB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	11,800	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	66.7
Hazard Ave at Beach Blvd, EB	Commercial/Recreation	6	15	0	13,100	45	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	67.1
Edinger at Magnolia St, WB	Residential	6	10	0	22,200	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.0
Edinger at Magnolia St, EB	Residential	6	10	0	21,900	40	75	0	0	1.8%	0.7%	0.0	68.0

¹ Distance is from the centerline of the roadway segment to the receptor location.

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\0D2120000+\0D2138300 HB Bella Terra EIR\Noise\Location_01.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: Edinger and Beach
 Note1: Traffic
 Note2: Bella Terra
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 09:19:05
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 72.2 dBA
 SEL: 101.7 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 1.66 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 09:19:05
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 72.2 dBA
 SEL: 101.7 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 1.66 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 57.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:27:21
 Max: 87.3 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:29:48
 Peak-1: 108.1 dBF 22-Apr-2008 09:29:48
 Peak-2: 100.3 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:29:48

Min: 57.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:27:21
 Max: 87.3 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:29:48
 Peak-1: 108.1 dBF 22-Apr-2008 09:29:48
 Peak-2: 100.3 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:29:48

L 1.67 79.7 dBA L 50.00 69.3 dBA
 L 8.33 75.3 dBA L 66.67 67.0 dBA
 L 33.33 71.4 dBA L 90.00 63.0 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 28-Aug-2007 09:52:26
 Checked: 22-Apr-2008 09:14:31
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 2

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.50 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 453573 bytes 86.51% free

Battery Level: 96% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\0D2120000+\0D2138300 HB Bella Terra EIR\Noise\Location_02.slm~~l~~
Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
Name: PBS&J
Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
Location: Edinger, east of Railroad Tracks
Note1: Traffic
Note2:
Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 09:47:04
Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
Leq: 70.4 dBA
SEL: 99.9 dBA
Dose: 0.00 %
Proj. Dose: 1.08 %
Threshold: 0 dB
Criterion: 90 dB
Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 09:47:04
Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
Leq: 70.4 dBA
SEL: 99.9 dBA
Dose: 0.00 %
Proj. Dose: 1.08 %
Threshold: 0 dB
Criterion: 90 dB
Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 54.2 dBA 22-Apr-2008 10:00:00
Max: 82.5 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:47:54
Peak-1: 103.0 dBF 22-Apr-2008 09:47:53
Peak-2: 96.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:47:53

Min: 54.2 dBA 22-Apr-2008 10:00:00
Max: 82.5 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:47:54
Peak-1: 103.0 dBF 22-Apr-2008 09:47:53
Peak-2: 96.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 09:47:53

L 1.67	77.7 dBA	L 50.00	67.7 dBA
L 8.33	74.6 dBA	L 66.67	64.5 dBA
L 33.33	70.2 dBA	L 90.00	59.1 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
Hysteresis: 2
Overloaded: 0 time(s)
Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 28-Aug-2007 09:52:26
Checked: 22-Apr-2008 09:14:31
Calibrator LD 0504
Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
Level: 113.50 dB
Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
Free Memory: 453573 bytes 86.51% free

Battery Level: 96% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\0D2120000+\0D2138700 HB Rip Curl EIR\Noise Data\Location_04.slmdl
Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
Name: PBS&J
Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
Location: Center Ave, east of Gothard
Note1: Traffic, train and horn
Note2: Ripcurl/Bella Terra
Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 10:56:17
Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
Leq: 67.4 dBA
SEL: 97.0 dBA
Dose: 0.00 %
Proj. Dose: 0.55 %
Threshold: 0 dB
Criterion: 90 dB
Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 10:56:17
Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
Leq: 67.4 dBA
SEL: 97.0 dBA
Dose: 0.00 %
Proj. Dose: 0.55 %
Threshold: 0 dB
Criterion: 90 dB
Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 46.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 10:56:30
Max: 89.8 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:06:49
Peak-1: 106.4 dBF 22-Apr-2008 11:06:48
Peak-2: 104.5 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:06:48

Min: 46.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 10:56:30
Max: 89.8 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:06:49
Peak-1: 106.4 dBF 22-Apr-2008 11:06:48
Peak-2: 104.5 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:06:48

L 1.67	74.0 dBA	L 50.00	62.2 dBA
L 8.33	70.5 dBA	L 66.67	58.7 dBA
L 33.33	65.9 dBA	L 90.00	52.4 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
Hysteresis: 2
Overloaded: 0 time(s)
Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 28-Aug-2007 09:52:26
Checked: 22-Apr-2008 09:14:31
Calibrator LD 0504
Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
Level: 113.50 dB
Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
Free Memory: 453573 bytes 86.51% free

Battery Level: 97% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\0D2120000+\0D2138700 HB Rip Curl EIR\Noise Data\Location_05.slm^l
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: Center Ave, east of Huntington
 Note1: Traffic
 Note2: Ripcurl/Bella Terra
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 11:20:01
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 66.7 dBA
 SEL: 96.3 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.46 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 11:20:01
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 66.7 dBA
 SEL: 96.3 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.46 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 49.5 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:28:13
 Max: 83.6 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:32:02
 Peak-1: 105.5 dBF 22-Apr-2008 11:35:01
 Peak-2: 97.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:32:01

Min: 49.5 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:28:13
 Max: 83.6 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:32:02
 Peak-1: 105.5 dBF 22-Apr-2008 11:35:01
 Peak-2: 97.9 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:32:01

L 1.67	75.0 dBA	L 50.00	61.7 dBA
L 8.33	71.2 dBA	L 66.67	58.2 dBA
L 33.33	65.1 dBA	L 90.00	53.1 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 28-Aug-2007 09:52:26
 Checked: 22-Apr-2008 09:14:31
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.50 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 453573 bytes 86.51% free

Battery Level: 97% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\0D2120000+\0D2138700 HB Rip Curl EIR\Noise Data\Location_6.slm_{dl}
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: Hunting Village Ave
 Note1: Traffic, freeway
 Note2: Ripcurl/Bella Terra
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 11:45:49
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 60.8 dBA
 SEL: 90.4 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.12 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 22-Apr-2008 11:45:49
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 60.8 dBA
 SEL: 90.4 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.12 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 51.8 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:50:49
 Max: 81.3 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:48:47
 Peak-1: 99.9 dBF 22-Apr-2008 11:48:47
 Peak-2: 100.7 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:48:47

Min: 51.8 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:50:49
 Max: 81.3 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:48:47
 Peak-1: 99.9 dBF 22-Apr-2008 11:48:47
 Peak-2: 100.7 dBA 22-Apr-2008 11:48:47

L 1.67	69.3 dBA	L 50.00	56.2 dBA
L 8.33	64.1 dBA	L 66.67	55.1 dBA
L 33.33	57.9 dBA	L 90.00	53.7 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 28-Aug-2007 09:52:26
 Checked: 22-Apr-2008 09:14:31
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.50 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 453573 bytes 86.51% free

Battery Level: 97% Source: INT